

Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind

Consultation Report

Annex 5.1.4A Section 47 Consultation Responses

Date: March 2024
Pursuant to APFP Regulation: 5(2)(a)
Document Reference: 5.1.4
Rev: 1.0

Company:	Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind	Asset:	Whole Asset			
Project:	Whole Wind Farm	Sub Project/Package:	Whole Asset			
Document Title or Description:	Appendix 5.1.4A Section 47 Responses					
Internal Document Number:	PP1-ODOW-DEV-CS-REP-0145	3 rd Party Doc No (If applicable):	N/A			
Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind accepts no liability for the accuracy or completeness of the information in this document nor for any loss or damage arising from the use of such information.						
Rev No.	Date	Status / Reason for Issue	Author	Checked by	Reviewed by	Approved by
1.0	March 2024	DCO Application	ODOW	S&W	ODOW	ODOW

Table of Contents

Acronyms & Definitions	4
Abbreviations / Acronyms.....	4
Terminology	4
Reference Documentation.....	7
1 Introduction.....	8
1.1 Feedback Form Responses received during Phase 1 Consultation and the Applicant’s Regard 8	
1.2 Feedback Form Responses received during Phase 1A Consultation and the Applicant’s Regard	9
1.3 Feedback Form Responses received during Phase 2 Consultation and the Applicant’s Regard 9	
1.4 Feedback Form Responses received during Autumn Consultation and the Applicant’s Regard 10	
2 Applicant Responses to Phase 1 Section 47 Consultation Responses	11
2.1 Feedback Form Responses received during Phase 1 Consultation and the Applicant’s Regard 25	
3 Applicant Responses to Phase 1A Section 47 Consultation Responses	49
3.1 Feedback Form Responses received during Phase 1A Consultation and the Applicant’s Regard	54
4 Applicant Responses to Phase 2 Section 47 Consultation Responses	59
4.1 Feedback Form Responses received during Phase 2 Consultation and the Applicant’s Regard 74	
5 Applicant Responses to Autumn Consultation Section 47 Consultation Responses	97
5.1 Feedback Form Responses received during Autumn Consultation and the Applicant’s Regard 102	

Table of Tables

Table 1.1 Consultation Phases carried out under section 47	8
Table 2.1 Email and Freephone Responses received during the Phase 1 Consultation	11
Table 2.2 Feedback Form Responses received during Phase 1 Consultation and the Applicant’s Regard	25
Table 3.1 Email and Freephone Responses received during the Phase 1A Consultation.....	49

Table 3.2 Feedback Form Responses received during Phase 1A Consultation and the Applicant's Regard	54
Table 4.1 Email and Freephone Responses received during the Phase 2 Consultation.....	59
Table 4.2 Feedback Form Responses received during Phase 2 Consultation and the Applicant's Regard	74
Table 5.1 Email and Freephone Responses received during the Autumn Consultation	97
Table 5.2 Feedback Form Responses received during Autumn Consultation and the Applicant's Regard	102

Acronyms & Definitions

Abbreviations / Acronyms

Abbreviation / Acronym	Description
AIL	Abnormal Indivisible Loads
AQMP	Air Quality Management Plan
CLG	Community Liaison Group
CoCP	Code of Construction Practice
CTMP	Construction Traffic Management Plan
GHG	Greenhouse Gases
HDD	Horizontal Directional Drilling
LVIA	Landscape Visual Impact Assessment
NVMP	Noise and Vibration Management Plan
OLEMS	Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Strategy
OnSS	Onshore Substation
ORCP	Offshore Reactive Compensation Platform
PAMP	Public Access Management Plan
PIDS	Public Information Days
PINS	Planning Inspectorate
PRoW	Public Rights of Way
SLVIA	Seascape Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment
SMP	Soil Management Plan
SPA	Special Protection Area
SoCC	Statement of Community Consultation
SWDS	Surface Water Drainage Strategy
WSI	Written Scheme of Investigation

Terminology

Term	Definition
The Applicant	GT R4 Ltd. The Applicant making the application for a DCO. The Applicant is GT R4 Limited (a joint venture between Corio Generation, Tota Energies and Gulf Energy Development (GULF)), trading as Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind. The Project is being developed by Corio Generation (a wholly owned Green Investment Group portfolio company), TotalEnergies and GULF
Array area	The area offshore within which the generating station (including wind turbine generators (WTG) and inter array cables), offshore accommodation platforms, offshore transformer substations and associated cabling will be positioned.
Autumn Consultation	The Autumn Consultation relating to the Project carried out in October and November 2023
Biodiversity Net Gain	An approach to development that leaves biodiversity in a measurably improved state than it was previously. Where a development has an impact on biodiversity, developers are encouraged to provide an increase in appropriate natural habitat and ecological features over and above that being affected, to ensure that the current loss of biodiversity through development will be halted and ecological networks can be restored.

Term	Definition
Development Consent Order (DCO)	An order made under the Planning Act 2008 granting development consent for a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP).
Early Adopted Programme	A process launched in April 2023 by the Planning Inspectorate and adopted by seven NSIP projects including Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind to trial potential components of a future enhanced pre-application service for applications decided under procedures set out in the Planning Act 2008.
Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)	A statutory process by which certain planned projects must be assessed before a formal decision to proceed can be made. It involves the collection and consideration of environmental information, which fulfils the assessment requirements of the EIA Regulations, including the publication of an Environmental Statement (ES).
Environmental Statement (ES)	The suite of documents that detail the processes and results of the EIA.
Evidence Plan Process (EPP)	A voluntary process of stakeholder consultation with appropriate Expert Topic Groups (ETGs) that discusses and where possible agrees the detailed approach to the EIA and information to support Habitats Regulation Assessment (HRA) for those relevant topics included in the process, undertaken during the pre-application period.
GT R4 Ltd	The Applicant making the application for a DCO. The Applicant is GTR4 Limited (a joint venture between Corio Generation and, TotalEnergies and Gulf Energy Development), trading as Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind.
Impact	An impact to the receiving environment is defined as any change to its baseline condition, either adverse or beneficial.
Local Authority	A body empowered by law to exercise various statutory functions for a particular area of the United Kingdom. This includes County Councils, District Councils and the Broads Authority, as set out in Section 43 of the Planning Act 2008.
Onshore Export Cable Corridor (ECC)	The Onshore Export Cable Corridor (Onshore ECC) is the area within which, the export cables running from the landfall to the onshore substation will be situated.
Onshore Infrastructure	The combined name for all onshore infrastructure associated with the Project from landfall to grid connection.
Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind (ODOW)	The Project
Order Limits	The area subject to the application for development consent, The limits shown on the works plans within which the Project may be carried out.
Phase 1 Consultation	The Phase 1 Consultation relating to the Project carried out in November 2022.
Phase 1A Consultation	The Phase 1A Consultation relating to the Project and the proposed alternative route option carried out in February 2023.
Phase 2 Consultation	The Phase 2 Consultation relating to the Project including consultation on the PEIR carried out in June and July 2023.
Scoping Opinion	The Scoping Opinion relating to the Project published by The Planning Inspectorate on 09 September 2022.
The Planning Inspectorate	The agency responsible for operating the planning process for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIPs).
The Project	Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind, an offshore wind generating station together with associated onshore and offshore infrastructure.

Term	Definition
Study Area	Area(s) within which environmental impact may occur – to be defined on a receptor-by-receptor basis by the relevant technical specialist.
Transition Joint Bay (TJBs)	The offshore and onshore cable circuits are jointed on the landward side of the sea defences/beach in a Transition Joint Bay (TJB). The TJB is an underground chamber constructed of reinforced concrete which provides a secure and stable environment for the cable.
Trenched technique	Trenching is a construction excavation technique that involves digging a trench in the ground for the installation, maintenance, or inspection of pipelines, conduits, or cables.
Trenchless technique	Trenchless technology is an underground construction method of installing, repairing and renewing underground pipes, ducts and cables using techniques which minimize or eliminate the need for excavation. Trenchless technologies involve methods of new pipe installation with minimum surface and environmental disruptions. These techniques may include Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD), thrust boring, auger boring, and pipe ramming, which allow ducts to be installed under an obstruction without breaking open the ground and digging a trench.

Reference Documentation

Document Number	Title
6.1.2	Need, Policy and Legislative Context
6.1.3	Project Description
6.1.4	Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives
6.1.9	Benthic and Intertidal Ecology
6.1.10	Fish and Shellfish Ecology
6.1.11	Marine Mammals
6.1.17	SLVIA
6.1.19	Onshore Air Quality
6.1.20	Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage
6.1.21	Onshore Ecology
6.1.22	Onshore Ornithology
6.1.23	Geology and Ground Conditions
6.1.24	Hydrology and Flood Risk
6.1.25	Land Use
6.1.26	Noise and Vibration
6.1.27	Traffic and Transport
6.1.28	LVIA
6.1.29	Socioeconomic Characteristics
6.1.30	Human Health
5.1	Consultation Report
8.1	Outline Code of Construction Practice
8.1.1	Outline Noise and Vibration Management Plan
8.1.2	Outline Air Quality Management Plan
8.1.3	Outline Soil Management Plan
8.1.5	Outline Surface Water Drainage Strategy
8.8	Outline Offshore WSI
8.9	Outline Onshore WSI
8.10	Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Strategy
8.15	Outline CTMP
8.16	Outline Travel Plan
8.17	Outline PAMP

1 Introduction

1. The Applicant carried out consultation under section 47 of the Planning Act 2008 (the 2008 Act) in accordance with the Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC) agreed following consultation with the local authorities and other stakeholders.
2. The Applicant has undertaken four phases of consultation under section 47 as outlined in the below Table 1.1. All of these phases have been carried out in accordance with the provisions of the SoCC.

Table 1.1 Consultation Phases carried out under section 47

Consultation Phase	Timeframe	Type
Phase 1	1 st Nov – 29 th Nov 2022	Project Launch
Phase 1A	21 st Feb – 21 st March 2023	Consultation on an alternative onshore export cable route option
Phase 2	7 th Jun – 21 st Jul 2023	Consultation on further refinements and the Project's Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR)
Autumn	20 th Oct – 24 th Nov 2023	Consultation on further project refinements

3. As required under section 49 of the 2008 Act the Applicant has had regard to all comments received during the consultations as set out in the tables below. Where practicable responses have been replicated although all personal and identifiable information has been redacted. Where it has not been possible to replicate the response or in the instances of responses received through the freephone service these have been summarised.
4. Feedback Form Questionnaire responses do not include multiple choice answers to questions asked about attitudes towards offshore wind projects and climate change, priorities for community benefit funds, survey approaches and preferences as to virtual or in person consultation.
 - Phase 1 Consultation Responses
 - Table 2.1 sets out Email/ Freephone responses received.

1.1 Feedback Form Responses received during Phase 1 Consultation and the Applicant's Regard

- Table 2.2 sets out Feedback Form Questionnaire Responses
- Phase 1A Consultation Responses
 - Table 3.1 sets out Email/ Freephone responses received

1.2 Feedback Form Responses received during Phase 1A Consultation and the Applicant's Regard

- Table 3.2 sets out Feedback Form Questionnaire Responses
- Phase 2 Consultation Responses
 - Table 4.1 sets out Email/ Freephone/ODOW Web Contact Form responses received

1.3 Feedback Form Responses received during Phase 2 Consultation and the Applicant's Regard

- Table 4.2 includes Feedback Form Questionnaire Responses
- Autumn Consultation Responses
 - Table 5.1 includes Email/Freephone responses received

1.4 Feedback Form Responses received during Autumn Consultation and the Applicant's Regard

- Table 5.2 includes Feedback Form Questionnaire Responses

2 Applicant Responses to Phase 1 Section 47 Consultation Responses

Table 2.1 Email and Freephone Responses received during the Phase 1 Consultation

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Application document referenced (if relevant)
P1_1	Member of the Public	Email	Cold weather is a result of high pressure, what do you propose to do when the wind does not blow? [Redacted]	The Applicant has noted this comment.	N/A
P1_2	Member of the Public	Email	Dear Project Manager, Having read your pamphlet with interest I have the following observation. “Never in the field of public projects will so much money have been wasted by so few unscientific people” Very, very disillusioned taxpayer, who would like to remind you that it’s so easy to waste other people’s money. [Redacted]	The Applicant has noted this comment.	N/A
P1_3	Member of the Public	Email	Having just seen your consultation document I have to ask the question about whether you have consulted with the Viking link project over a connection to their HVDC cable which is being laid to bicker fen substation to avoid the cost and disruption of laying a new cable across the land. You may have good reasons for not considering this such as security, cost/complexity of connection, cable loading or other factors but I would like to know why this is not possible. [Redacted]	The Applicant has noted this comment. At this time it is not considered feasible to deliver the proposed Project in connection with other infrastructure in the area.	N/A
P1_4	Member of the Public	Email	Afternoon, I have received your brochure this morning with regards the outer dowsing offshore wind farm. I live at [redacted], and in your leaflet you say the export cables are to make landfall 500m south of Anderby creek. so do you mean Chapel St Leonards? I would like you to tell me exactly where these cables will make landfall, as you must know this already, and not just show us locals a stripy bit on a map? Firstly, These windfarms to be honest are a blight on the landscape, I think we have enough windfarms in the north sea already. Secondly, we have hundreds of these windmills offshore, if they work so well and are a net zero energy system WHY ARE MY ELECTRIC BILLS GOING UP ?	Project refinements have determined the location of the export cables, as outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives. In respect of the calculations and numbers included within the brochures circulated, these figures are based on 212,093 ktCO2e the total estimated Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions avoidance for the Project lifetime and the estimated number of equivalent cars removed for 25 years based on standardised petrol vehicle efficiency and mileage. The Applicant has considered all landscape and visual impacts which are set out in Chapter 28 Landscape and Visual Assessment (LVIA) and	6.1.4 Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives 6.1.28 LVIA 6.1.17 SLVIA SoCC (as included in Appendix 5.1.5 of the Consultation Report) 8.10 OLEMS

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Application document referenced (if relevant)
			<p>it makes no difference to my bills at all, could you answer that question for me please ?</p> <p>you have very clever wording in your leaflet like "powering the equivalent of 1.6million homes" & "equivalent to removing over 650,000 petrol cars from the road "</p> <p>please explain these quotes and how you worked it out.</p> <p>Thanks for reading my rant but I'd like answers please.</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>[Redacted]</p> <p>PS I would not be able to visit " we want to hear your views " events, as I work, so probably won't get to speak to your project team. An email to answer my queries if fine. Thanks</p>	<p>Chapter 17 Seascape, Landscape and Visual Assessment (SLVIA).</p> <p>In terms of the substation the Applicant has committed to mitigation planting that will be utilised to create an effective screen reducing and/or eliminating significant effects on landscape character and visual amenity. Details of the proposed planting are set out in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Strategy (OLEMS).</p> <p>The Applicant has also noted the comment in respect of conflicts between work commitments and the Public Information Days (PIDs). As outlined in the SoCC the Applicant hosted events out with working hours and on non-working days to allow as many members of the community to participate as feasible.</p>	
P1_5	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Good Afternoon,</p> <p>We received notice of consultation events this morning. It stated that we had received this as we would either be within 500 m of your proposed cabling route or near a proposed substation location. However I cannot see any of these proposals on your website? Can you please send me a link to where I can find these? I live at [redacted].</p> <p>Thanks for your help. I am sure that you will understand our concerns and the alarm that your communication has caused.</p> <p>I look forward to hearing from you,</p> <p>Best Regards,</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	<p>The core consultation zone was identified in respect of Phase 1 as being a minimum distance of 500 metres either side of the onshore search zone extending 1,000 metres around the onshore substation search zones. Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zone and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.</p>	N/A
P1_6	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Good afternoon</p> <p>I have tried calling and left a message but no one has called me back yet. I received a booklet today advising me that my house is in the above location. I would like some more information please.</p> <p>What does "current proposed cable corridor search zone" and "current proposed substation search zone" mean please?</p> <p>I own my property and I am just making sure that this proposed cable / substation is not going to be where my property is??</p> <p>Please can you get in touch with some more info. The leaflet is informative but it is very confusing and uses Jargon us normal folk does not understand, more clarity is needed please.</p>	<p>The Applicant committed to not routing any underground cables under residential properties or gardens.</p> <p>Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zone and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available including confirmation of substation locations and proposed cable corridors from the original wider search areas proposed.</p>	N/A

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Application document referenced (if relevant)
			<p>Thank you</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>[redacted]</p>		
P1_7	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Hello</p> <p>We have received your brochure today, could you please provide a map for your proposed underground cable through [redacted]. In addition a time frame for start to finish for the village.</p> <p>Your consultation period of one month before deadline is extremely concerning to us as you have provided no information other than a radius of 500m from our homes.</p> <p>I am presuming we will only be affected if the Spalding option is agreed at this time ?</p> <p>I await your response</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	<p>Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zone and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.</p>	N/A
P1_8	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Good evening</p> <p>I have read through your documentation on your website but cannot find a map of the proposed underground cable. Please can you direct me to a more detailed map of the area as 500m either side is not very clear.</p> <p>With regards</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	<p>Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zone and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.</p>	N/A
P1_9	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Please explain why one of the proposed sites, to bring power onshore is "East of Alford" yet none of the consultation meetings are in Alford. Hard to believe, but true.</p> <p>We have had to endure months and months of Viking Link. That is enough disruption</p> <p>How do I formerly object?</p> <p>Regards</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	<p>The reference 'East of Alford' was used to provide geographical context as it was the nearest town to the Lincs Node substation search area. Consultation events were therefore held at Anderby due to its position within the core consultation zone and proximity to the landfall search area. Following confirmation of the grid connection the Project confirmed that the substation would be located at Weston Marsh and the subsequent PID was held at Hogsthorpe Village Hall.</p>	N/A
P1_10	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Dear Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind.</p> <p>I understand my address has been identified as being within your core consultation zone. Could you be kind enough to explain what this actually means to my address personally. To be honest I do not agree with global warming, net zero or wind farms. I personally believe we should be spending money on more</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted these comments. The Project would make a meaningful contribution to producing affordable energy and achieving national energy security with reduced reliance on fossil fuels and exposure to volatile global wholesale energy prices. Further details as to the need for the Project</p>	N/A

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Application document referenced (if relevant)
			reliable sources of producing electricity. Please be aware that property in [redacted]. [redacted]	and how the Project accords with Government ambitions for net zero and renewable energy targets is set out in Chapter 2 Need Policy and Legislative Context. The core consultation zone was identified in respect of Phase 1 as being a minimum distance of 500 metres either side of the onshore search zone extending 1,000 metres around the onshore substation search zones. Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zone and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.	
P1_11	Member of the Public	Email	Dear Outer Dowsing offshore wind, I would be grateful if you could send me details/links to your November 2022 virtual public information events please. Thanks and kind regards, [redacted]	The Applicant provided the relevant details requested. Dates and details of the Phase 1 consultation events including the virtual exhibition were publicised ahead of the commencement of the consultation phase.	N/A
P1_12	Member of the Public	Phone Call	Individual phoned to enquire as to how they would be affected by the proposed development.	The Applicant confirmed details as to the location of the Project during the phone call. Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zone and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.	N/A
P1_13	Member of the Public	Phone Call	Query as to why the Applicant is not investing in tidal as it is more reliable.	The Applicant has noted this comment.	N/A
P1_14	Member of the Public	Email	I just got your leaflet about a 'proposed new National Grid substation east of Alford'. I live [redacted] . Please tell me where this substation will be? On the leaflet it shows an area of 'core consultation', what is this - is it the underground cable being put in called Viking Way? Thanks	The Applicant's onshore substation (OnSS) will be located near to a National Grid substation. Following the confirmation of the grid connection point, the Applicant confirmed that the OnSS would be located at Weston Marsh. The core consultation zone was identified in respect of Phase 1 as being a minimum distance of 500 metres either side of the onshore search zone extending 1,000 metres around the onshore substation search zones. Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation	N/A

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Application document referenced (if relevant)
				zone and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.	
P1_15	Member of the Public	Phone Call	Individual phoned with concerns about how close the substation would be to their property. Applicant explained the search areas. As the individual was unable to attend the PIDs due to work commitments the Applicant offered to email the panels and links to the online exhibition once the consultation had started.	Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zone and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.	N/A
P1_16	Member of the Public	Phone Call	Individual phoned with concerns as to the proximity of the substation to their property. The Applicant explained that at this point the connection point had not been confirmed and where the search areas were. Individual advised that they had no issues with underground cables.	Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zone and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available. In Following the confirmation of the grid connection point, the Applicant confirmed that the OnSS would be located at Weston Marsh.	N/A
P1_17	Member of the Public	Email	Dear team, I have received the leaflet about the Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind consultation. I am a resident of Alford and can see a new National Grid substation is possibly part of the proposal. I would have liked to see Alford on the map if it's part of the proposal (why isn't it?) and where is the proposed site (east of Alford?). I understand there are planned consultation events in which I could raise this but I feel at the very least Alford should have been included on the map, showing the area where the proposed new substation would be located to save such queries. I would also like to know the environmental impact this substation would have on the Alford area if any. I look forward to your response. Kind regards [redacted]	The reference 'East of Alford' was used to provide geographical context as it was the nearest town to the Lincs Node substation search area. Consultation events were therefore held at Anderby due to its position within the core consultation zone and proximity to the landfall search area. Consultation events were therefore held at Anderby due to its position within the core consultation zone and proximity to the landfall search area. The Lincs Node substation option was not progressed following the confirmation of the grid connection option and the Applicant's commitment to the Weston Marsh substation location.	N/A
P1_18	Member of the Public	Email	Dear Sir You sent me a consultation document regarding a proposed wind farm complex in the area of Anderby Creek. I have strong objections. This area and beach are a beautiful, unspoilt part of the Lincolnshire Coastline. It contains soft dunes and sandy beach not often found. A wind farm would destroy the natural beauty of this area forever I fail to see why this area is being considered given its importance.	The Applicant has noted these comments. Potential visual effects on the seascape have been reviewed and addressed in Chapter 17 SLVIA and mitigation committed to including the positioning of the ORCPs 12km from the closest part of the coastline as opposed to the initial proposed distance of 6km to reduce the prominence from terrestrial receptors. In respect of the landfall, the Applicant has committed to HDD-ing under the beach and reinstating all land affected by the underground cable route to its original state.	6.1.17 SLVIA

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Application document referenced (if relevant)
			<p>There are areas of coastline which could be used but it seems to be that areas are chosen which offer the most aesthetic benefit to people and sacrificed forever.</p> <p>I would fervently ask that Anderby Creek is left in its pristine condition - an area I do visit and appreciate.</p> <p>Many thanks</p> <p>[redacted]</p>		
P1_19	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Hi,</p> <p>We have received your leaflet because we are in the core consultation zone. I would like to know who benefits from these windfarms?</p> <p>There is a huge Viking Link project that has blighted the sea views for a number of years and the benefit to the community is zero. We got the highest energy prices seen since the last war.</p> <p>Now you come along with another windfarm to sell us the energy at inflated energy prices, make your investors rich and we have to put up with more scenery desecration.</p> <p>I got one will pop along to your consultations and oppose the project.</p> <p>Thank you</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted these comments.</p> <p>In respect of landscape and visual impacts associated with the substation, these have been assessed and mitigation, including planting, outlined in Chapter 28 LVIA.</p>	6.1.28 LVIA
P1_20	Member of the Public	Email & Follow Up Phone Call	<p>I have left 4 messages regarding the development at Anderby Creek. I still have had no reply. Is this the shape of things to come? Really bad start. I lived next to the Triton Knoll development and still bear the scars. My number is [redacted].</p> <p>[redacted]</p> <p>A follow up phone call between the Applicant the member of the public discussed the consultations, the consenting process and how members of the public can participate and including the caravan parks within the consultation.</p>	<p>The Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zone and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.</p> <p>Details of the Applicant's approach to consultation is outlined in the Consultation Report. This includes how the Applicant engaged with local caravan parks.</p>	N/A
P1_21	Member of the Public	Phone Call	<p>The individual phoned to discuss how close the Project would be to their house. The Applicant followed up with an email confirming the exact distance between the proposed cable route from the property and providing details of the upcoming consultation events.</p>	<p>The Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zone and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.</p>	N/A
P1_22	Member of the Public	Phone Call	<p>The individual phoned to advise that they believed the cable route went through their property and requested a meeting.</p>	<p>The Applicant has engaged with all landowners or those whose land may be affected by the Project throughout the consultation, details of this are set out in the Consultation Report.</p>	5.1 Consultation Report
P1_23	Member of the Public	Phone Call	<p>The individual phoned as they could not locate the maps on the website. The Applicant responded with an email link to this section of the website.</p>	<p>No further action required.</p>	N/A
P1_24	Member of the Public	Phone Call	<p>The individual phoned to query why there was not a consultation event proposed for Alford.</p>	<p>The reference 'East of Alford' was used to provide geographical context as it was the nearest town to the Lincs Node substation search area. Consultation events were therefore held at Anderby due to its position within the core consultation zone and proximity to the landfall search area.</p>	N/A

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Application document referenced (if relevant)
P1_25	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Dear Sir / Madam,</p> <p>I recently returned a completed questionnaire which also included and map identifying my home and business as a possible site for the pipeline to go through.</p> <p>My address is:[redacted]</p> <p>Please send me a reply and an update of where things are.</p> <p>I was not able to attend the Anderby Village Hall event as I am considered still extremely vulnerable to Covid. Please also include any matters that were raised at this event that could affect me or any possible matters relating to your proposed pipeline work.</p> <p>I accessed the website you suggested but did not find anything of importance.</p> <p>Information is key to this matter so please keep me informed of any changes or proposals as and when they happen.</p> <p>Yours Faithfully,</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	<p>The Applicant has engaged with all landowners or those whose land may be affected by the Project throughout the consultation, details of this are set out in the Consultation Report.</p> <p>The Applicant noted the importance of making events accessible to all and adopted a hybrid approach as set out in the SoCC including virtual exhibitions and for later consultation phases webinars.</p>	5.1 Consultation Report
P1_26	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Guess what?</p> <p>I don't have a Microsoft Teams Account. In fact I don't have anything to do with Microsoft.</p> <p>So couldn't access your webinar. I use Linux and Zoom.</p> <p>Bit of a shame your consultation is not universal, technically speaking.</p> <p>Perhaps you can provide me with a link via email</p> <p>Thanks</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	<p>The Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zone and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.</p> <p>The Applicant hosted both in-person and virtual exhibitions to ensure that the consultation was accessible to the wider community. The webinars once recorded were uploaded to the Project website.</p>	5.1 Consultation Report
P1_27	Member of the Public	Email	<p>I live at [redacted] and I am concerned about the location and size of the potential substation in relation to where I live.</p> <p>I understand off shore wind power is the future but having just recently retired to the area I would be heartbroken to have a significant change in the rural nature of the area I have chosen to move to.</p> <p>Primarily I would like to know the expected foot print of the proposed substation and ultimately its proximity to my address.</p> <p>I understand that consultation is in its early stages but presumably some tangible</p>	<p>The Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zone and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.</p>	N/A

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Application document referenced (if relevant)
			<p>sizes must be known at this stage of proceedings.</p> <p>I would very much appreciate your response on this matter. I have spoken to other neighbours in the immediate area who have not been made aware of this development and would like to assure them of the facts if they are available.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>[redacted]</p>		
P1_28	Member of the Public	Phone Call	Individual phoned to follow up to an email asking how to join the webinar, also had questions about the company behind the Project and plans for Weston Marsh. The Applicant explained the companies behind Outer Dowsing Offshore Wind and what is proposed for Weston Marsh and the proximity to her property.	The Applicant has engaged with all landowners or those whose land may be affected by the Project throughout the consultation, details of this are set out in the Consultation Report.	N/A
P1_30	Member of the Public	Phone Call	Individual phoned to request details of the webinars which the Applicant provided.	The Applicant has engaged with all landowners or those whose land may be affected by the Project throughout the consultation, details of this are set out in the Consultation Report.	N/A
P1_31	Member of the Public	Phone Call	Individual phoned to advise that they believed the cable route went through their property and requested details on whether it was within the Project's boundary.	The Applicant has engaged with all landowners or those whose land may be affected by the Project throughout the consultation, details of this are set out in the Consultation Report.	5.1 Consultation Report
P1_32	Member of the Public	Phone Call	Individual phoned to clarify how close his property was from the Project. The Applicant confirmed it was 2km from the Project boundary.	The Applicant has engaged with all landowners or those whose land may be affected by the Project throughout the consultation, details of this are set out in the Consultation Report.	N/A
P1_33	Member of the Public	Phone Call	Phone call querying the effect of the search area outlined on the A111 Sutton Road.	<p>Following the refinement of the Project throughout the consultation phases the Lincs Node substation was dropped following the confirmation of the grid connection at Weston Marsh.</p> <p>Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p>	<p>8.15 Outline CTMP</p> <p>8.16 Outline Travel Plan</p>
P1_34	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Hello,</p> <p>Our property is situated right on the border of the underground cable corridor at [redacted]. Please can you answer the following queries for us that are not covered in your leaflet or presentation.</p> <p>1. As the proposed cable corridor is literally on the other side of our garden</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this comment.</p> <p>In respect of working hours and noise and vibration impacts the Applicant has submitted an Outline Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) including an Outline Noise and Vibration Management Plan (NVMP) which contains protocols and principles to</p>	<p>8.1 Outline CoCP</p> <p>8.1.1 Outline NVMP</p> <p>8.15 Outline CTMP</p> <p>8.16 Outline Travel Plan</p> <p>6.1.27 Traffic and Transport</p> <p>3.1 Draft DCO</p>

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Application document referenced (if relevant)
			<p>fence, we will experience some inevitable noise nuisance. What are the intended hours of working? Will the work be carrying on over weekends and at night?</p> <p>2. How long is it anticipated it will take to sink each section of the cable? Will we be having work going on for weeks or for months?</p> <p>3. Other onshore cable works locally have involved the construction of temporary works bases and accommodation close to the area of operation. Where will these works bases be situated and how many will there be? Will there be construction workers resident on site and if so what is the intended mode and routes of transport? What facilities are being provided for them given that there is no mains gas or drainage, a very poor and unreliable electrical network, poor water pressure and a telecoms network constructed in the 1960's? Do we have any assurance that our already primitive living resources will not be compromised further?</p> <p>4. Most of the country lanes in the area of the corridor and on the approach were constructed many years ago with no expectation of heavy use and are now already at their limit of tolerance with the industrial sized modern farm machinery. What routes will be used to reach the areas of the corridor which are almost entirely at the end of the already fragile road network given that there will be even heavier construction traffic trying to get to the sites?</p> <p>5. We appreciate that roads will be made good where the cable corridor crosses and breaches the road. What repairs will be made to the road network other than this, i.e. those on the approach to the area of work?</p> <p>We look forward to your response.</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	<p>be adhered to during construction to mitigate impacts. The draft Development Consent Order (DCO) also sets out requirements as to the construction hours.</p> <p>Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport includes a traffic and transport assessment and assesses the potential impacts of the Project on the road network. Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p>	
P1_35	Member of the Public	Phone Call	The individual phoned to enquire about the proximity of the cable route to their property. The Applicant provided copies of the cable route search area and identified the relevant pages and distance from the property.	The Applicant has engaged with all landowners or those whose land may be affected by the Project throughout the consultation, details of this are set out in the Consultation Report.	N/A
P1_36	Member of the Public	Phone Call	The individual phoned to enquire about the proximity of the cable route to their property and information around the size and height of the substation.	<p>The Applicant has noted this comment.</p> <p>In respect of the size and height of the substation, the details of the development have been outlined in the Chapter 3 Project Description.</p>	6.1.3 Project Description
P1_37	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Good morning – thank you for the welcome to your meeting at Butterwick and all the information given and provided. I was talking to your SLR team member about the buried forests along the Lincs coast. I have just found an article about them which he may find interesting ----also on web there are some images of the wood from only a few years back.</p> <p>I wonder if you have contacted the BGS with the thought of their bore hole records or any advice they may have?</p> <p>The Weston Fen, the BGS may have records for that area which has peat so I would imagine that some piling would be needed if any building is intended and is it on a flood plain? Perhaps the Spalding Power Station has records of their building plans?</p> <p>One small comment – the lovely illustrated booklet of the wall panels – it is lovely but the font for the print could do being a little larger for tired old eyes!!</p> <p>Kind regards</p>	BGS data was utilised throughout the assessment of the Project's impacts, including as a data source for geology, Groundwater Source Protection Zones and mines and quarries as set out in Chapter 23 Geology and Ground Conditions.	6.1.23 Geology and Ground Conditions

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Application document referenced (if relevant)
			[redacted]		
P1_38	Member of the Public	Phone Call	Individual phoned to enquire about whether the cable route affected their land and the implications regarding the easement.	The Applicant has engaged with all landowners or those whose land may be affected by the Project throughout the consultation, details of this are set out in the Consultation Report.	5.1 Consultation Report
P1_40	Member of the Public	Phone Call	Individual phoned to enquire about whether the cable route affected their land.	The Applicant has engaged with all landowners or those whose land may be affected by the Project throughout the consultation, details of this are set out in the Consultation Report.	5.1 Consultation Report
P1_41	Member of the Public	Phone Call	Individual phoned to enquire about whether the electricity generated would be sold on the world market like oil and gas. The Applicant confirmed that electricity generated is exported to the National Grid.	No further action required.	N/A
P1_42	Member of the Public	Phone Call	Individual phoned to enquire as to how they could get more involved following attendance at the exhibition.	The Applicant has utilised a variety of consultation techniques to engage and facilitate dialogue with the local community including Community Liaison Groups, details of which are set out in the Consultation Report.	5.1 Consultation Report
P1_43	Member of the Public	Phone Call	Individual phoned to enquire about the proximity of the Project to their property. The Applicant provided maps of the cable route.	The Applicant has engaged with all landowners or those whose land may be affected by the Project throughout the consultation, details of this are set out in the Consultation Report.	N/A
P1_44	Member of the Public	Email	<p>I've had a read of the outer dowsing scope report being a concerned resident in Huttoft and have some queries and comments. I would be grateful if you can share these with the project and answer them/ask the project to answer them. I'll start with comments then cover queries.</p> <p>First, the onshore substation area is 60 acres! This will have a massive impact on the area. There will be a huge visual impact as it is a flat area. Plus, the area is agricultural with tourism. Building a substation here is completely out of character with the area. A much smaller area in the same location was refused planning permission for a windfarm pre ban a few years ago, the same arguments will apply here, more so because it is a much bigger area.</p> <p>Onto queries - with such a huge area there will be an impact on house prices. Will compensation be given to houses in the area for loss of value to their properties? I can see this ending up in court as residents take action as a whole (will cost less to take court action per house compared to the reduction in property values).</p> <p>When will the decision as to where the substation is located be made? i.e. Lincs node or south Holland?</p> <p>Many thanks</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted these comments. Details as to the Project parameters are set out in Chapter 3 Project Description.</p> <p>The Applicant has considered all landscape and visual impacts which are set out in Chapter 28 Landscape and Visual Assessment (LVIA).</p> <p>In terms of the substation the Applicant has committed to mitigation planting that will be utilised to create an effective screen reducing and/or eliminating significant effects on landscape character and visual amenity. Details of the proposed planting are set out in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Strategy (OLEMS).</p> <p>Chapter 29 Socioeconomics, Recreation and Tourism assesses the potential impact of the Project on the tourism industry. An assessment of the agricultural impacts is set out in Chapter 25 Land Use.</p>	<p>6.1.3 Project Description</p> <p>6.1.25 Land Use</p> <p>6.1.28 LVIA</p> <p>6.1.29 Socioeconomics</p> <p>8.10 OLEMS</p>

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Application document referenced (if relevant)
			[redacted]		
P1_45	Member of the Public	Phone Call	Individual phoned to enquire as to the proximity of the cable route to their property. The Applicant provided maps of the proposed cable route and confirmed the relevance of the search areas.	The Applicant has engaged with all landowners or those whose land may be affected by the Project throughout the consultation, details of this are set out in the Consultation Report.	N/A
P1_46	Lincolnshire Wildlife Trust (LWT)	Email	Please find the attached response to the ODOW Phase 1 Consultation on behalf of the Lincolnshire Wildlife Trust. LWT does not have anything significant to add at this time, but we will continue to monitor progress against the key points we raised following the ODOW Scoping Report. Furthermore, we will evaluate and respond to the PEIR when it is released during Phase 2. Please feel free to contact me directly for any specific questions.	The Applicant has noted this Response. The Applicant engaged with LWT including in person meetings and bilateral consultation as well as through the Project's Evidence Plan Process as documented in the Consultation Report (Document 5.1)	5.1 Consultation Report
P1_47	Member of the Public and CLG Attendee	Email	Good Afternoon I understand there is a public meeting this evening at 1730 to be held in the Butterwick Village Hall? Might it be possible for you to advise in advance of the meeting where one can expect to see both onshore and offshore cable routes and pipelines and the location of infrastructure related to same? Sincerely [redacted]	The Applicant continued to engage with the CLGs including the provision of materials ahead of meetings where relevant to facilitate discussion. Details of the CLGs is set out in the Consultation Report.	5.1 Consultation Report
P1_48	Member of the Public	Phone Call	Individual phoned to enquire as to where the landfall and the cable route would be. The Applicant provided a plan of the cable route and details of the HDD proposed at landfall.	The Lincs Node substation option was not progressed following the confirmation of the grid connection option and the Applicant's commitment to the Weston Marsh substation location.	N/A
P1_49	Member of the Public	Phone Call	Individual phoned to enquire about details of the cable route and southern substation search areas. The Applicant provided plans of the cable route and substation search areas.	The Applicant has engaged with all landowners or those whose land may be affected by the Project throughout the consultation, details of this are set out in the Consultation Report.	N/A
P1_50	Councillor	Email	FAO Outer Dowsing consultation event team. Dear Sir/Madam, please find below my objections to the Outer Dowsing Wind Farm proposals as laid out during the consultation event. These concerns relate specifically to the proposed route that affects Alford area, My generic concerns will affect all proposed routes. The objection is made on behalf of all residents in my constituency. It is the truth to say that not one person has supported your	The Applicant has noted these comments. In respect of the onward transmission of power by National Grid this is a separate matter therefore the design of the Project has not been influenced by this aspect.	N/A

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Application document referenced (if relevant)
			<p>proposals to me.</p> <p>I will be very grateful if you will ensure that this response is entered into the consultation. I would also like you to note that this objection represents the shared view of : Victoria Atkins MP, Lincolnshire County Council, East Lindsey District Councils, Alford Town Council, Huttoft Parish Council, Bilsby and Farlesthorpe Parish Council. This objection is stand-alone but has been discussed with these organisations and agreed. It does not replace the objection the organisations noted will make in their own regard.</p> <p>Letter of objection.</p> <p>I am writing to express my concern about the plans for the proposed outer dowsing cable routes and detailed proposals as they effect the localities between Anderby Creek and Alford, as outlined on the plans shown recently.</p> <p>This will be the third project to bring disruption to our area following on from Triton Knoll and Viking Link. We are still suffering the disruption as hundreds of thousands of tons of chalk from the major support road built across country to connect the Viking Link to the National Grid at Bicker travel through our lanes, villages, and towns.</p> <p>There is no good reason why either of these two earlier routes could not have built a parallel conduit corridor to carry future cables, leaving it empty until the next of the 5 proposed offshore windfarms is contracted. Likewise, there is no reason why this could not happen with this latest Outer Dowsing contract, except for the fact that Crown estate are releasing each contract piecemeal and this contractor will not be contracted with developing that future carrying capacity. In my Ward residents have tolerated this disruption but we have reached a stage where enough is enough. The irony being that we get no local electrical benefit back-fed into the Lincolnshire coast electrical infrastructure , where in fact it would be straightforward to use transformers at these substations to link this massive power into local 33k and 11k powerlines and help solve those problems. I am writing to you in a personal capacity on behalf of my ward residents, but I have also had the following statement agreed by the Lincolnshire County Council (LCC) Economy and Environment team:</p> <p>LCC are concerned about the lack of joined up strategy guiding the routing of onshore power cables. It is unclear to us whether National Government has thought through the implications of bringing power cables linked up to 8 offshore wind farms via different routes to link these cables with the National Grid somewhere in this locality, possibly east of Alford. It is also unclear how the National Grid are linking to these onshore cables to move the electricity around once on land. Suggestions have been made that it will be via, 50m High Pylons of the type that have already been used in South Holland. These are proposed to run in the coastal zone but to the east of the Wolds. They will be very visible and invasive in the landscape. What is clear that there is no local benefit from this National Grid that will allow East Lindsey and Eastern Lincolnshire residents to benefit from this new renewable power supply. Therefore, the LCC is opposed to the implementation of this proposed above ground Land Route and of any</p>		

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Application document referenced (if relevant)
			<p>future land routes.</p> <p>LCC is not opposed to offshore wind farms in principle but believe that the beneficial power so harvested should be linked through interconnectors underwater or on offshore pylons to allow the Grid to become integrated offshore and then moved directly to a National Grid location near the eastern coast, possibly Clacton in Essex. LCC will not let National Grid off the hook here. They have a duty to share all workings for on and offshore grid connections and we want to see it.</p> <p>I would like you to do all in your power to stop this damaging development and ask the National Grid to develop a more joined up and probably cheaper solution where undersea cabling is used to link to extant National Grid interconnectors with a minimum of overland disruption.</p> <p>I have discussed this matter with Victoria Atkins MP. She shares the concerns of Lincolnshire County Council and will make her own response to the consultation in a similar vein to the notes above.</p> <p>I am opposed to all of the proposals as suggested. If they do proceed, I hope that very significant community benefit will be made available to compensate for the massive damage and disruption caused.</p> <p>Yours Sincerely,</p> <p>[redacted]</p>		
P1_51	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Dear sirs,</p> <p>Following your consultation meetings, of which I attended the Fosdyke one, where we discussed the options for the cable routing. I have found this link (which you are probably aware of) It shows the global electrical infrastructure and shows that there are 2 cables which go through the wash to connect offshore wind to Sutton Bridge</p> <p>When we spoke about the possibility of running the connection to Spalding via undersea cabling your representatives said that because the wash is a SSSI that would not be feasible. As there are 2 other cables already in it might be worth pursuing that option if the grid connection is to be at Spalding.</p> <p>I would welcome your comments and thoughts. The undersea approach is likely to be shorter and more cost effective and will not involve digging up quite large areas of valuable arable land. The shorter routing will also have less losses attached to it.</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted these comments. The iterative site selection process that has been undertaken is outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection.</p> <p>The designation of the Wash SPA and its SSSI status meant the Applicant was unable to take forward for consideration the option of siting the cable corridor under the Wash due to the likely adverse effects on integrity on the SPA.</p>	6.1.4 Site Selection

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Application document referenced (if relevant)
			<p>Kind regards,</p> <p>[redacted]</p>		
P1_54	Parish Clerk	Email	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>Please may I ask if Bilsby Parish Council could be included on the Outer Dowsing consultation process taking place in Lincolnshire.</p> <p>With kind regards</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	<p>The Applicant noted this comment. Bilsby Parish Council was invited to send a representative to participate in the CLGs. Details on the CLGs are included within the Consultation Report.</p>	<p>Consultation Report (5.1)</p>
P1_55	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Hi there</p> <p>I'm a resident living near Spalding.</p> <p>I've completed the consultation survey but I have some specific questions that I'm hoping you can answer:</p> <p>Re the onshore proposals in the virtual exhibition. The map shows many 'scheduled monuments' - what are these and what do they look like?</p> <p>Re the onshore search zone, does this go anywhere near RSPB Frampton marshes. What plans are in place to eliminate disruption to this very important site?</p> <p>What will the substations look like? How will they be designed to be conducive with the landscape and not an eyesore?</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this comment. In respect of scheduled monuments, only one scheduled monument (Abbey Hills Moated Site) has been identified within the Order Limits. The Applicant has submitted an Outline Onshore Archaeological Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) which informs the implementation of fieldwork strategies and provides for the preservation in situ of remains of national importance.</p> <p>The Applicant has continued to engage with the RSPB in particular in respect of Frampton Marshes. Additionally the RSPB have participated in the Evidence Plan Process undertaken by the Applicant. Details on potential impacts and relevant mitigation for onshore ornithology is outlined and addressed in Chapter 22 Onshore Ornithology. The RSPB Reserves at both Frampton Marsh and Freiston Shore have been taken into consideration during the design process to ensure these sites are avoided.</p> <p>In terms of the substation the Applicant has committed to mitigation planting that will be utilised to create an effective screen reducing and/or eliminating significant effects on landscape character and visual amenity. Details of the proposed planting are set out in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Strategy (OLEMS).</p>	<p>6.1.20 Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage 6.1.22 Onshore Ornithology 6.1.28 LVIA 8.10 OLEMS</p>

2.1 Feedback Form Responses received during Phase 1 Consultation and the Applicant's Regard

Table 2.2 Feedback Form Responses received during Phase 1 Consultation and the Applicant's Regard

Where no comment has been provided on the form the relevant box is shaded green.

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
P1_57	Possibly town councils	Yes, project documentation should be in easy read , there is an assumption of literacy made	That is a technical question that few are equipped to answer. As a lay person I am concerned about the possible negative impact on the character of the landscape and the fragility of the flora and fauna. This is especially the case for the potential loss of the 18 hectares of valuable farmland. It is not made clear whether there would be any electricity pylons in the event that the substation is East of Alford.		By using the existing infrastructure (substation) near Spalding. It is so wrong to take a further 18 hectares of farmland out of commission	Make your documentation more accessible to those with low literacy and for people whose first language is not English			The Applicant has noted these comments. The Applicant has engaged with the local parish councils most notably through the CLGs, details of which are set out in the Consultation Report. Assessments as to the possible impacts of the Project on ecology and farmland are set out in Chapter 21 Onshore Ecology and Chapter 25 Land Use. The Applicant has endeavoured to minimise the permanent loss of land as far as practicable alongside measures to reinstate any temporarily impacted land to its original use following the completion of the construction works. The adoption of the alternatively proposed route north of the A52 impacted less Grade 1 agricultural land than	8.10 OLEMS 6.1.21 Onshore Ecology 6.1.25 Land Use

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
									<p>the original proposed route.</p> <p>The Lincs Node substation option was not progressed following the confirmation of the grid connection option and the Applicant's commitment to the Weston Marsh substation location.</p> <p>In terms of the impact of the substation on the landscape the Applicant has committed to mitigation planting that will be utilised to create an effective screen reducing and/or eliminating significant effects on landscape character and visual amenity. Details of the proposed planting are set out in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Strategy (OLEMS).</p>	
P1_58		Have more in person consultation events	Can not answer that one as I do not know where other cables come	Marine species or habitats; Recreational					The Applicant has noted these comments. Potential impacts of the Project	6.1.21 Onshore Ecology 6.1.9

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
			on shore or what other sites were looked at	activities; Wildlife at Anderby Creek. Anderby Marsh, is a wildlife haven of traditional coastal grazing marsh and reedbed will this area be affected?					<p>on onshore ecology are set out in Chapter 21 Onshore Ecology, marine species and habitats are set out in Chapters 9-11. The OLEMS submitted by the Applicant as part of the DCO application presents embedded mitigation regarding habitat reinstatement, enhancement and creation.</p> <p>Annex I habitats such as coastal flood plain grazing marsh/ grazing marsh and reedbeds will be avoided through the adoption of trenchless techniques.</p> <p>Any impacts on recreation are assessed in Chapter 29 Socioeconomics. The Applicant has developed and will adhere to throughout construction Project specific policies which will include measures to mitigate potential negative effects including an Outline Public Access</p>	<p>Benthic and Intertidal Ecology 6.1.10 Fish and Shellfish Ecology 6.1.11 Marine Mammals 6.1.19 Socioeconomics 8.10 OLEMS</p>

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
									Management Plan (PAMP) which sets out the approach that will be taken to manage public access to Public Rights of Way (PROW).	
P1_59		I think reassurance that the work will be carried out with the utmost of speed to get it "over and done with" would be greatly appreciated. The Viking Linc usually had no signs of activity on a Friday afternoon until Monday afternoon. The speed restrictions were still in place even though unnecessary at weekends etc. This message could be taken to our local secondary schools	Yes			I think reassurance that the work will be carried out with the utmost of speed to get it "over and done with" would be greatly appreciated. The Viking Linc usually had no signs of activity on a Friday afternoon until Monday afternoon. The speed restrictions were still in place even though unnecessary at weekends etc.			The Applicant has noted these comments and has and will continue to engage with the local community prior to any construction. In respect of working hours and noise and vibration impacts the Applicant has submitted an Outline Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) which contains protocols and principles to be adhered to during construction to mitigate impacts. The draft Development Consent Order (DCO) also sets out requirements as to the construction hours. The Applicant has prepared a CTMP which sets out the approach that will be taken to manage potential impacts of construction traffic	8.1 Outline CoCP 3.1 Draft DCO 8.15 Outline CTMP

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
									including a requirement to drive within the speed limits set.	
P1_60		No	On the information provided, and as a new resident in the area, I do.	The Wainfleet area is devoid of public access routes for walking and cycling to the east of the A52, especially to access the nature reserve at Gibraltar Point. If the proposed corridor between Wainfleet and Gibraltar Point is selected, it may provide an opportunity to consult with landowners to put such provision into place. This would benefit the local community, their health and wellbeing, and enhance the environment.			Engage with landowners to see if public access could be granted for walking and cycling.		The Applicant has noted these comments. The Applicant has submitted an Outline Public Access Management Plan (PAMP) as part of the DCO application which sets out the approach that will be taken to manage public access to existing Public Rights of Way (PRoW). The Applicant is committed to the development of a Community Benefit Fund to be launched post consent. The proposed themes for this include nature positive which could cover increasing accessibility to nature.	N/A
P1_61				5G deployment already present. Wireless connectivity, high speed, low latency already available				As indicated prior to this page. Saving costs by using existing solutions in	The Applicant has noted these comments. All practicable solutions for saving costs will be considered	N/A

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
				in Triton Knoll area (can be provided towards Outer Dowsing) (Citymesh Belgium)(less cost compared to complete new installation) Also, vessels in "building fase" of Outer Dowsing, can use this 5G instead of VSAT or other expensive solutions.				adjacent wind farms.	throughout the development of the Project.	
P1_62		Keep the web pages up to date Twitter	I would like to discuss the landfall site. I do not think that the area around Wolla Bank is suitable - there are nature reserves, and these must be maintained. Lincs Wildlife Trust have worked hard to establish these, and it would be such a devastating event to have cables brought through them. Even if the HDD is used there will still be some noise	Fishing; Navigation and shipping; Marine species or habitats; Recreational activities; Marine industries. There are organisations who can help here - EIFCA, RNLI. MMO, Natural England, Fishing Liaison Officers.... There are buried forests along this coastline - the wildlife trust will be able to help with marine life		Keep them informed as to what you are doing - with maps and diagrams and photos Invite some onto the site when safe to do so Keep the roads and lanes clear and clean of mud etc. Make sure the contractors keep to speed limits and remember they are visitors on the local roads Try to avoid the summer months		I am not a local person and cannot answer many of your questions but have answered those I can as a long term visitor to the area and watched Triton Knoll and Viking Link projects develop. TK are to be congratulated as to how they have completed their onshore work. It was always good to be able to have a conversation with	The Applicant has noted these comments. The Applicant committed in the SoCC to utilising social media to promote engagement with the local community as outlined in Appendix 5.1.14 of the Consultation Report. In respect of nature reserves, the Applicant has committed in the OLEMS to avoiding Annex 1 habitats, Priority Habitats and Lincolnshire	5.1 Consultation Report 8.10 OLEMS 8.9 Marine OWSI Environmental Statement

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
			<p>and vibrations to disturb the creatures. It is their home and should stay that way!!!!</p> <p>I would have thought that Triton Knoll and Viking Link would be able to help with some of their data,</p> <p>Do not rely on just the desk findings - work can be old and not up to date. I presume that you will eventually do your own field work with bore holes and ground penetrating equipment etc?</p> <p>Have you flown a drone to get a better view of the area and have you LiDAR as well to help?</p>	<p>such as seals. Lincshore beach nourishment occurs each summer and a dredger is used to bring sand from licensed sandbanks to pumped onto the beaches - this is an EA project along with Van Oord. Their site is at Anderby Creek when in operation. Regular beach measurements are taken throughout the year, Local IDB's will be involved if the HDD is to go under the drains etc.</p> <p>It may be an area where you will find wrecks and UXO's and old aircraft (WW2)</p> <p>The Lincs windfarms have vessels attending to repairs and inspections regularly</p>		<p>for lorry travel - gets very busy then,</p>		<p>some of the workforce when they had the time to spare.</p> <p>Excellent; liaison officer who was quick to answer queries. VL - their system is different and takes a time to get a reply - but they are not a wind farm!!</p>	<p>Biodiversity Action Plan habitats through the use of trenchless techniques.</p> <p>The Applicant has carried out fieldwork, including LiDAR, targeted geophysical surveys and aerial photographic assessments.</p> <p>The Applicant has engaged both through statutory and non-statutory consultation with a number of stakeholders including the MMO, EIFCA, RNLI, Natural England, the Environment Agency, the owners and operators of neighbouring infrastructure including other offshore wind farms, local IDBs and Lincolnshire Wildlife Trust as outlined in the Consultation Report, consultation has also been factored into the assessments carried out in the Environment Statement. In particular the</p>	

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
									<p>Applicant has discussed the Environment Agency (EA) beach nourishment project and interaction between the projects.</p> <p>The EIA baseline does not reference any crash sites or military remains within the Order limits however a protocol has been included within the Outline Marine Written Scheme of Investigation (OWSI).</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted an Outline CoCP which includes a provision requiring the regular cleaning of roads of any construction waste and dirt.</p>	
P1_63			I am keen to understand how the onshore electrical cable corridors will be used - underground cables or overground					The cable corridor in my area is open farmland, but also close proximity to my house and RSPB Frampton Marsh - that is a concern both in terms of building	The Applicant has noted these comments. The Applicant has committed to underground cables for the entirety of the cable route.	6.1.3 Project Description

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
			pylons - if the latter how close to houses it will be. The map shows the corridor edge being immediately next door to my house!					the corridor (especially of overhead pylons) and impact when it is live		
P1_64			I don't feel best placed to answer this. I think this cable is a necessary component to the project but I'm not sure residents will welcome any disruption or changes to our rural landscape.		I would like to see the project to spearhead a number of initiatives. Very little of the original marshland exists in Lincolnshire. I'd very much like to see the project fund a project, e.g. working with Lincolnshire wildlife trust to identify a site near the Weston search zone to bring back an ancient marshland to life. In addition I'd like to see the project plant a tens of thousands of trees in Lincolnshire, around splading and Boston areas, in consultation	Keep people informed at every stage. Keep impact on transport infrastructure at a minimum. Leave areas exactly as you found them with a high quality finish. People here run down their own area, particularly around Boston and Spalding. Please try to avoid aggravating and worsening this and giving another reason to run down the look and feel of the area. You can do this by making a positive out of it, e.g. through launching initiatives		My central concerns are around impact on rspb Frampton marsh. Local travel disruption is also a concern along with the aesthetic impact the substations and scheduled monuments will have on the local rural landscape. Re the onshore proposals in the virtual exhibition. The map shows many 'scheduled monuments' - what are these and what do they look like? Could this be made clearer to the public? Also please specify that 'm' means	The Applicant has noted these comments. The Applicant has committed to underground cables for the entirety of the cable route. The Applicant is committed to biodiversity net gain as outlined in the Biodiversity Net Gain Principles and Approach document submitted as part of the DCO application. The Applicant has begun work on developing a Community Benefit Fund to be launched post-consent in addition to volunteering opportunities including with Boston Woods Trust.	9.5 Biodiversity Net Gain Report Principles and Approach 6.1.27 Traffic and Transport 6.1.28 LVIA 6.1.20 Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage 6.1.22 Onshore Ornithology

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
					<p>with local conservation trusts. You could also help expand the world of Boston Woods Trust which has built several woods for local residents to enjoy.</p> <p>Offer grants that local charities can apply for as an ongoing legacy of the project. This is how the onshore wind farm near Donington/Bicker in this same area gets buy in from residents</p>	suggested above and supporting the community.		meters on the exhibition slides. Thought at first it was miles.	<p>The Applicant has continued to engage with the RSPB in particular in respect of Frampton Marshes. Additionally the RSPB have participated in the Evidence Plan Process undertaken by the Applicant. Details on potential impacts and relevant mitigation for onshore ornithology is outlined and addressed in Chapter 22 Onshore Ornithology. The RSPB Reserves at both Frampton Marsh and Freiston Shore have been taken into consideration during the design process to ensure these sites are avoided.</p> <p>The Applicant has noted this comment. In respect of scheduled monuments, only one scheduled monument (Abbey Hills Moated Site) has been identified within the Order Limits. The Applicant has submitted an Outline</p>	

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
									<p>Onshore Archaeological Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) which informs the implementation of fieldwork strategies and provides for the preservation in situ of remains of national importance.</p> <p>Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport includes a traffic and transport assessment and assesses the potential impacts of the Project on the road network. Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p>	

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
									In terms of the substation the Applicant has committed to mitigation planting that will be utilised to create an effective screen reducing and/or eliminating significant effects on landscape character and visual amenity. Details of the proposed planting are set out in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Strategy (OLEMS).	
P1_65	Anderby Parish Council	Yes	No	Marine species or habitats	No	Regular dialogue with local people	Listen to what we need and not what you think we need		The Applicant has engaged with the local community throughout the development of the Project. Parish Councils have been consulted as part of the phases of consultation carried out under section 42. Parish Councillors have been invited where relevant to join the CLGs for their area, details of which are set out in the Consultation Report.	5.1 Consultation Report 5.1.3 Consultees consulted under section 42

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
P1_66	No, only making it clearer for local people to establish where they will be inconvenienced	Maps could be easier to follow instead of so many separate ones - it is difficult to follow just where the proposed routes will be. Surely you could provide a large, long map, e.g. showing Derby-Wainfleet and then the remainder. As landowners we couldn't make out if it comes over our land or not or how near it would be with cabling.	No	Surely the appropriate authorities will act on the above. We attended the Triton Knoll appeal and established how information they all are on anything connected with the sea.	Tearing up some 30+ miles of Lincolnshire countryside can't help anyone with all the equipment (lorries, noise and the like)	No such thing as a good neighbour. We saw how inconvenient Triton Knoll was locally - roadsides in a mess, road closures, etc.	Don't agree with benefits to local communities, just a catch to get people to agree to your plans	Lincolnshire is being used as a dumping ground - we've had Triton Knoll, now Viking Link, possible nuclear waste at Theddlethorpe, wanting to sink 50 people's farms, businesses and homes for reservoir at Scredington, solar panels on good farmland - where will it stop? Take your project up the coast to Hull and the like. There always will be climate change - nature takes its course and we can't stop the seasons.	<p>The Applicant has noted these comments. The Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zone and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.</p> <p>Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport includes a traffic and transport assessment and assesses the potential impacts of the Project on the road network. Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a CTMP, travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted an Outline</p>	6.1.27 Traffic and Transport 8.15 Outline CTMP 8.1 Outline CoCP 8.1.1 Outline NVMP

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
									CoCP including an Outline NVMP which contains protocols and principles to be adhered to during construction to mitigate impacts.	
P1_67	There are 500 caravan owners located in several camping sites at Anderby Creek. In what way have you consulted with them?	The camp sites are now closed for the season. The only way to contact owners is to get the names and addresses from site owners. Each one must be contacted about this development.	I have no opinion on that.	Anderby Creek is a holiday destination. The beach has lots of use with swimmers, paddle boards, beach fishing, etc. Anderby Creek is a nature reserve and an area of outstanding natural beauty.	Just concentrate on people. Provide solar panels, free electricity, build a new car park, etc, etc, streetlights, resurface roads.	It's a shame you're not allowed to compensate people. That would be a yes! In the meantime, see above list as a starting point.		Other than caravan owners, there a quite a few residents at Anderby Creek that know nothing about this project. There are several website / pages that are for our area, e.g. Friends of Anderby Creek, or Anderby Creek Past & Present.	The Applicant has noted these comments. The Applicant has engaged with local landowners, including the caravan parks as outlined in the Consultation Report. Impacts on recreational activities have been considered in Chapter 29 Socioeconomics	5.1 Consultation Report 6.1.29 Socioeconomics
P1_68		Make sure maps have the road numbers printed on them. The representative could not locate our property on any of them and it took us 20 minutes to find. This made us feel that our feedback was unimportant.				Traffic management. No congestions on A16/A17 routes to hospital for emergencies caused by speed limits unnecessarily in force when sites are not working evenings and weekends. Ask for archaeological surveyors to make direct contact	Reduce visual and & environmental impact of compund. Reduce traffic congestion caused by poor traffic management. Consult and inform re: archaeological interest	I fully support offshore wind projects. We need them, but so far have been very poorly managed in our area. The inconvenience and distress caused by lack of understanding of the way traffic management affects local residents causes antipathy, lack of	The Applicant has noted these comments. Potential impacts on traffic and transport have been reviewed and assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. Additionally the Applicant has included an Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan within its application which sets out	6.1.20 OWSI 6.1.27 Traffic and Transport 8.15 CTMP 8.9 Onshore WSI

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
						with local residents who may have undocumented evidence.		support, real difficulties in people's lives. This continues, unimproved and unmonitored for years. We are also proud of our local history and regard ourselves as custodians of Lincolnshire heritage and landscape. There must be real and meaningful engagement with local residents.	principles and protocols to be adhered to throughout the construction period. Consideration around cultural and archaeological heritage in the area has been set out in Chapter 20 Archaeology and Cultural Heritage. The Applicant has submitted an Outline WSI (OWSI) as part of the application. Any archaeological investigations will be carried out in accordance with a WSI approved by Lincolnshire County Council (LCC) in consultation with the relevant planning authority and Historic England in accordance with the OWSI. In terms of the substation the Applicant has committed to mitigation planting that will be utilised to create an effective screen reducing	

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
									<p>and/or eliminating significant effects on landscape character and visual amenity. Details of the proposed planting are set out in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Strategy (OLEMS).</p> <p>The Applicant has continued to engage with the local community throughout the consultation phases and the iterative design process undertaken.</p>	
P1_69		We enjoyed being able to talk to a representative at the Fosdyke Village Hall. It was a difficulty to change from blue representing the sea in maps and diagrams, but the posters on the walls had land portrayed as blue.							The Applicant has taken this comment into account and the land is no longer shown in blue.	N/A
P1_70	No, only making it clearer for local people to establish where they will be inconvenienced	It would be preferable if the information about which route is to be	The northerly Lincolnshire Node substation search zone does not		reinstatement of disrupted hedgerows	Do you have any plans to minimise noise pollution for		Regular road cleaning around the staging area sites where	The Applicant has noted this comment. The Applicant has continued to engage	8.1 Outline CoCP 8.1.1 Outline NVMP

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
		<p>taken is shared as soon as possible as the decision is made, as opposed to waiting for the next round of consultation events in many months' time.</p>	<p>make sense to us since there isn't the electrical infrastructure in that area. It would make more sense to use the existing infrastructure at Weston Marsh for example.</p>			<p>the neighbours? If so, what are they?</p>		<p>vehicles leave and join the main road (observation that not enough of this was done by recent Viking Link project)</p>	<p>with the local community throughout the consultation phases and the iterative design process undertaken to provide updates and further details as these became available. Following the confirmation of the National Grid connection point the Lincs Node substation location was no longer progressed and this was communicated to the local community ahead of the Autumn Consultation.</p> <p>As set out in the OLEMS Applicant has committed to reinstating any hedgerows that are disrupted during construction using a species-rich locally appropriate native mixture.</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted an Outline Noise and Vibration Management Plan as part of the Outline Code of Construction</p>	<p>8.10 OLEMS</p>

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
									<p>Practice which sets out principles and protocols to minimise impacts from noise and vibration. The final CoCP will include a final NVMP which will accord with the principles outlined in the draft NVMP and be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority.</p> <p>The outline CoCP also includes a provision requiring the regular cleaning of roads of any construction waste and dirt.</p>	
P1_71									This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
P1_72							Engage with local communities. Examples like using recycled hardcore from haul roads to donate to households or	Important communicating with communities and landowners, keeping them happy and supporting local communities.	The Applicant has noted this comment. The Applicant has continued to engage with the local community and landowners throughout the	6.1.23 Geology and Ground Conditions 6.1.25 Land Use

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
							businesses in need	Important looking into types of soils on the land of Lincolnshire.	consultation phases and the iterative design process undertaken to provide updates and further details as these became available. The Applicant recognises the importance of the soils in the Lincolnshire area, this is addressed both in Chapter 23 Geology and Ground Conditions, Chapter 25 Land Use and the Outline Soil Management Plan submitted as part of the DCO application.	
P1_73	No	No	No. I think if the site is to be near Spalding the cable should be all undersea. Efforts should be made to negotiate a way through the Wash and up the River Witham. I understand the SSSI status of the Wash but exceptions should be made.	No	Ensure that after the cable has been installed the area goes back to 'normal' ASAP. Include possible environmental schemes along the route - public access?	Minimise the disruption to the area. Inform timescale and aim to complete within this window.	Community's affected by the developments should be given an opportunity to invest in the process and receive discounted power for a fixed period.	We need to develop more onshore wind power as well. Costs are much lower and carbon footprint is better. Onshore projects could be linked closely with communities they affect. Joined up thinking solar/wind/AD to provide 24/7 green energy.	The Applicant has noted this comment. The Applicant has committed to full reinstatement of the cable route post construction as soon as possible. The Applicant is committed to minimising disruption to the local community. Principles and protocols as well as best practice is outlined in the Outline	8.1 Outline CoCP

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
									<p>CoCP included in the application.</p> <p>The designation of the Wash SPA and its SSSI status meant the Applicant was unable to take forward for consideration the option of siting the cable corridor under the Wash due to the likely adverse effects on integrity on the SPA.</p> <p>The Applicant has noted these comments. In respect of the onward transmission of power by National Grid this is a separate matter that the Project is unable to influence.</p>	
P1_74			As far as I know		That seems to be covered	As Above	Ensure it happens and we get the electricity we all need	N/A	The Applicant has noted this response.	N/A
P1_75		Seriously, if you want more people, offer them a cup of tea. Sounds silly but it works!	No valid opinion					The problem of the blades - not recyclable, short life - has not been addressed. Nowhere does the presentation show how much	The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant has undertaken a Climate Change Assessment which is included as part of the ES, Chapter 31 Climate Change	6.1.31 Climate Change

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
								CO2 will be produced in building the project. How many years until that is paid back and the project makes a positive effect, e.g. after XX years the project will achieve CO2 neutrality? How long is the expected life of the project? NB, people will like it better if you refer to windmills not wind turbines	(document reference 6.1.31).	
P1_76	No	No it's all pretty good.	Yes	Care should be taken not to adversely affect the wild bird and seal population.	No, just make sure that the land is left as found.	No - you seem to have it covered.	Just get it done and leave it tidy.	I think you have it covered. But I am sure you will get plenty of NIMBYs to tell you otherwise.	The Applicant has noted this response. Any potential impacts on the wild bird and seal populations have been assessed and mitigation outlined in Chapter 11 Marine Mammals and Chapter 12 Offshore and Intertidal Ornithology. In particular the Project has developed Outline Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocols (MMMPs) in respect of Piling and	6.1.11 Marine Mammals 6.1.12 Offshore and Intertidal Ornithology 8.10 OLEMS 8.6.1 MMMP Piling 8.6.2 MMMP UXO

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
									<p>UXO to mitigate any impacts on the local marine mammal populations.</p> <p>The Applicant has noted this comment. The Applicant has committed to full reinstatement of the cable route post construction in accordance with the timeline set out in the OLEMS.</p>	
P1_77	N/A	Attend the public forum parts of local parish council meetings. Contact parish clerks for access.	Yes - not too near housing on the whole.	The seal breeding grounds to the north of the proposed area must not be affected. The flight zones of the geese to Frampton Marshes and inland to Friskney should not be impacted.	Make sure you let the local people know their seals and geese will not be adversely affected.	Speak to them. Go to the local village halls as well as Wainfleet.	Provide cheaper, greener electricity.	N/A	<p>The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant has engaged with the local community throughout the consultation phases including through the establishment of Community Liaison Groups which were attended by parish councillors.</p> <p>Any potential impacts on the wild bird and seal populations have been assessed and mitigation outlined in Chapter 11 Marine Mammals and Chapter</p>	<p>6.1.2 Need, Policy & Legislative Context</p> <p>6.1.11 Marine Mammals</p> <p>6.1.12 Offshore and Intertidal Ornithology</p> <p>8.10 OLEMS</p> <p>8.6.1 MMMP Piling</p> <p>8.6.2 MMMP UXO</p>

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
									<p>12 Offshore and Intertidal Ornithology. In particular the Project has developed Outline Marine Mammal Mitigation Protocols (MMMPs) in respect of Piling and UXO to mitigate any impacts on the local marine mammal populations.</p> <p>The Applicant has committed to full reinstatement of the cable route post construction in accordance with the timeline set out in the OLEMS.</p> <p>The Project would make a meaningful contribution to producing affordable energy and achieving national energy security with reduced reliance on fossil fuels and exposure to volatile global wholesale energy prices. Further details as to the need for the Project and how the Project accords with Government ambitions for net zero</p>	

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you agree that the optimum search zones for the onshore electrical cable corridors to the potential grid connections have been selected?	Do you have any information on the offshore project areas or the local marine environment which you think we should be aware of? [and further information if yes indicated]	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area, for example for biodiversity, for people, for the planet?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities?	Any other thoughts or comments on the proposals?	Project Regard for comments raised	Relevant application document (if applicable)
									and renewable energy targets is set out in Chapter 2 Need Policy and Legislative Context.	

3 Applicant Responses to Phase 1A Section 47 Consultation Responses

Table 3.1 Email and Freephone Responses received during the Phase 1A Consultation

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
P1A_1	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Apart from the observation that your routes seem (to a retired engineer) to be overly wide, I fail to see the reasoning behind having the Southern Onshore Substation Search Zone so far from the land fall of the supply, the Alford area would seem to be the obvious candidate, both from the cost point of view and the distress to residents. Personally, assuming the above comments are dismissed then the Alternative Route (green) Search Zone would be my (reluctant) choice.</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this comment. The Applicant has undertaken an iterative site selection process as set out in Chapter 4 Site Selection and engaged with the local community throughout the consultation phases as the Project was refined. In particular the cable corridor route has been reduced from the width of the search area presented during consultation to the typical 80m corridor.</p>	6.1.4 Site Selection
P1A_2	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Hello,</p> <p>I live at [redacted]. I recently received a brochure from you. I am not entirely sure why.</p> <p>I think it is good that you are planning to build an offshore windfarm. Is the reason that you have written to me, that you are proposing to install HV pylons along the A52? I would appreciate further detail on what onshore works are proposed, their duration and any disruption that they are liable to cause me (if any), for example will the A52 need to be closed, or prolonged road works. As I primarily drive South to Boston and for work, I am not particularly concerned about disruption to the North of Butterwick.</p> <p>Thank you in anticipation of your response.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	<p>The Applicant noted these comments. Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zone and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.</p> <p>The Applicant has committed to installing cables underground.</p> <p>The Applicant has noted these comments. Potential impacts on traffic and transport have been reviewed and assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. Additionally the Applicant has included an Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan within its application which sets out principles and protocols to be adhered to throughout the construction period.</p>	6.1.27 Traffic and Transport
P1A_3	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Good evening,</p> <p>Please could you clarify if the outer dowsing offshore wind route will be affecting private properties? We are based in [redacted] and see that both routes will concern us regardless.</p> <p>This is the first we have heard regarding this project and would like to know exactly how this will affect us and our community, if at all? regards</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	<p>Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zone and affected landowners and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.</p>	N/A

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
P1A_4	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>Thank you for your leaflet showing the alternative route consultation zones.</p> <p>It would be more open and honest with us if you actually showed in on the map the exact route the cable is to take. Could I make a suggestion that someone takes out a black marker pen and draws it on the map. That would help us know exactly how the cabling process is going to affect us.</p> <p>I look forward, by return, to receiving from you a map showing the cable routes as proposed drawn on it. Surely that won't be too hard will it. I will then disseminate via the village's community pages. This will tell people exactly how they will be affected as this "leaflet" is sadly lacking in detail.</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this comment. Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.</p> <p>The Applicant has undertaken an iterative site selection process as set out in Chapter 4 Site Selection and engaged with the local community throughout the consultation phases as the Project was refined. In particular the cable corridor route has been reduced from the width of the search area presented during consultation to the typical 80m corridor.</p>	N/A
P1A_5	Parish Councillor	Email	<p>Hi,</p> <p>I attended the consultation at Wainfleet Coronation Hall last night, which I must say was excellent.</p> <p>I was given to believe that the questionnaire was available on-line</p> <p>It may be me, but I cannot seem to locate it.</p> <p>Can you help please</p> <p>Best wishes</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	<p>The Applicant provided a link to the questionnaire. Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available</p>	N/A
P1A_6	National Grid	Email	<p>Hi</p> <p>I just attended your consultation webinar, which was very interesting – thank you.</p> <p>I would just like to make you aware that your off-shore cable is likely to be laid in proximity to our Viking Link subsea cable that will go live later this year. Can you please engage with us to ensure we can put any necessary agreements in place and/or mitigate any impact.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>[redacted]</p> <p>Senior Land & Consents Officer</p>	<p>The Applicant has engaged with National Grid in respect of existing infrastructure in the area. The Applicant does not anticipate that there will be any need for crossing agreements in respect of the Viking Link project.</p>	N/A

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
P1A_7	National Grid	Email	<p>Thank you for the reply.</p> <p>Looking at the proposed landfall plans, as you suggest, your cable seems to be a safe distance south of Viking. The onshore substation search zone southwest of Sandilands is in proximity to our cable, presumably this is being taking into account, but if you could advise when you have more detail on the substation location, we would be happy to check its location in proximity to our land rights.</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>[redacted]</p> <p>Senior Land & Consents Officer</p>		
P1A_8	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Good morning</p> <p>I live in the consultation area in Friskney [redacted] for the alternative route; can you advise when the next consultation meeting is, as I was informed, we would be notified in early February, but I have not received a letter or any update</p> <p>Regards</p>	<p>The Applicant advised that although there were no further consultation events in the Phase 1A consultation that the virtual exhibition was available on the website. Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available</p>	N/A
P1A_9	National Grid	Email	<p>Thanks for your email below.</p> <p>Having looked in a little more detail at the location of the wind farm, do you have any plans of your offshore cable route? I just want to be certain of its location in proximity to Viking Link.</p> <p>Thanks</p> <p>[redacted]</p> <p>Senior Land & Consents Officer</p>	<p>The Applicant has engaged with National Grid in respect of existing infrastructure in the area. The Applicant does not anticipate that there will be any need for crossing agreements in respect of the Viking Link project.</p>	N/A
P1A_10	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Good morning,</p> <p>Has a date been set for the geo - investigations to commence at and around Wolla bank please? This was mentioned on the Webinar for the end of March. The webinar video is a great idea as one can stop and start it to go over information again.</p> <p>Thank you...</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	<p>The Applicant advised on the proposed timescales of the borehole works at Wolla Beach</p>	N/A

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
P1A_14	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Good morning Please could you advise me as to:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. whether the Bore hole work has commenced at Wolla Bank Beach? 2. Is the Wolla Bank Beach car park available for public cars or will the engineers etc. be using it? 3. If the work has not started have you a date when this could be? 4. Are the works tide dependent? <p>Many thanks</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	The Applicant advised on the proposed timescales of the borehole works at Wolla Beach. The car park remained open throughout.	N/A
P1A_18	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>Thank you for sending the latest newsletter. I am somewhat disappointed that the new potential cable routes are not shown in the document. Could you kindly send me a map with the proposed routes to the 2 potential connection points please?</p> <p>Can you also show which alterations have been made from the original plans?</p> <p>Kind regards,</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	The Applicant has noted this response. Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.	N/A
P1A_19	Parish Councillor	Email	<p>Thank you for your recent Community update letter.</p> <p>We note that you discuss engagement with local organisations in respect of</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Community Benefit Fund, and 2. Biodiversity and environmental enhancements <p>These are both areas of interest to Wainfleet St Mary Parish Council, who are already engaged in projects that we have initiated and managed.</p> <p>To date we have received no contact in this respect from you and wonder how we can move this forward?</p> <p>Kind regards</p> <p>Clive</p>	<p>The Applicant has continued to engage with local communities particularly in respect of the proposed Community Benefit Fund and biodiversity through a variety of mechanisms including the Community Liaison Groups, in particular the January 2024 CLGs which covered the proposed themes and criteria for a potential Community Benefit Fund. The minutes of these meetings are included within the Consultation Report.</p> <p>The Applicant is committed to biodiversity net gain as outlined in the Biodiversity Net Gain Principles and Approach document submitted as part of the DCO application.</p>	5.1 Consultation Report 9.5 Biodiversity Net Gain Principles and Approach
P1A_20	Parish Clerk	Email	Email regarding updated contact details for the parish clerk for Frisney Parish Council	The Applicant has noted this response. All future consultation was issued to the updated contact details.	N/A

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
P1A_21	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Thank you for this. I have 2 areas of concern.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The revised route does not alter the section from Butterwick to Fosdyke. 2. From the route it looks like the connection to Spalding, if that transpires, is via overhead cables from Fosdyke is this the case? <p>Why do we not look at the alternative of overhead cables?- especially as the planned route (even the revised possibility) goes through some of the best arable land in the country.</p> <p>Kind regards, [redacted]</p>	The Applicant has noted this comment. The 'Holford Rules' utilised by National Grid in overhead line routing have provided the context that supports the Applicant's decision to bury the cables underground. The Applicant's chosen cable route has been selected to minimise the impact on arable land as far as possible	6.1.4 Site Selection
P1A_22	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Are there any further updates on the project location/cable routing?</p> <p>Many thanks</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.	N/A
P1A_23	Member of the Public	Email (Follow Up)	<p>If you would kindly pass on any new information in early June this would be very helpful</p> <p>Best regards</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.	N/A
P1A_24	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Sorry to disturb you again but will the bore hole work go ahead as stated on the new notices at Anderby Creek and Wolla bank please?</p> <p>I saw them as I went to see how the progress and preparations were going for the Lincshore 2023. I see that the 2 tugs have arrived.</p> <p>Hope you have a pleasant weekend – if only this weather would hold for a bit longer!!</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	The Applicant advised on the proposed timescales of the borehole works at Wolla Beach	N/A
P1A_28	Member of the Public	Phone call	Individual telephoned to enquire about the upcoming PIDs. Applicant provided details of the upcoming PIDs.	Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.	N/A
P1A_29	Member of the Public	Phone Call	Individual left a voicemail about the possibility of developing the Project in partnership with the Wash barrage so the electricity can be utilised to pump water behind the barrage and release it when required to generate electricity. The Applicant advised that the Project would feed into the Grid the barrage project would be able, if they wanted to, to take off peak electricity from the Grid to pump water.	Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.	N/A

3.1 Feedback Form Responses received during Phase 1A Consultation and the Applicant's Regard

Table 3.2 Feedback Form Responses received during Phase 1A Consultation and the Applicant's Regard

5. Where no comment has been provided on the form the relevant box is shaded in green.

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with? (Please see our panel on Stakeholder Engagement)	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you have any thoughts, feedback or local knowledge that you would like to share in relation to the Alternative Route Option Search Zone and our indicative Cable Corridor as shown within it?	Do you agree that the Alternative Route Search Zone is the optimum search area north of the A52 for the onshore electrical cable corridor to the Weston Marsh grid connection option?	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities? We are keen to hear any other thoughts or comments you have on our proposals. Please leave your comments here:	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
P1A_31		More detailed maps showing proposed cable routes ideally using 25,000 OS maps, google maps and street view. I find the maps outlining current proposed routes to bigger scale	This alternative route will cross more domestic and small holders land and cause I believe more damage to the local communities and environments.	No. [In respect of the original cable search zones]: This looks to be a better route as there are less domestic properties that would be affected. I actually believe using a subsea cable would be better then you bypass all the problems of digging up people's gardens and lively hoods	I would like to see this project make a massive difference to the energy bills of everyone in the area affected by cable laying, and when we are supplied with 100% renewable energy that energy is cheaper than electricity produced by gas		Compensate local dwellings and business for the upset, upheaval, noise, dust and damage caused	<p>The Applicant has noted this response. Details of the iterative site selection process undertaken are set out in Chapter 4 Site Selection. The alternative route has been selected as it represents less of an impact on the Grade 1 agricultural land.</p> <p>The designation of the Wash SPA and its SSSI status meant the Applicant was unable to take forward for consideration the option of siting the cable corridor under the Wash due to the likely adverse effects on integrity on the SPA.</p> <p>The Project would make a meaningful contribution to producing affordable energy and achieving national energy security with</p>	6.1.4 Site Selection 6.1.2 Need, Policy and Legislative Context

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with? (Please see our panel on Stakeholder Engagement)	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you have any thoughts, feedback or local knowledge that you would like to share in relation to the Alternative Route Option Search Zone and our indicative Cable Corridor as shown within it?	Do you agree that the Alternative Route Search Zone is the optimum search area north of the A52 for the onshore electrical cable corridor to the Weston Marsh grid connection option?	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities? We are keen to hear any other thoughts or comments you have on our proposals. Please leave your comments here:	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
								reduced reliance on fossil fuels and exposure to volatile global wholesale energy prices. Further details as to the need for the Project and how the Project accords with Government ambitions for net zero and renewable energy targets is set out in Chapter 2 Need Policy and Legislative Context.	
P1A_32		I think you are doing fine	These were shared at the meeting. However, there is an issue around the area of St, Mary Church Wainfleet, where there may need to be a slight movement in the route.	Overall, I would say yes	I think the idea of providing an environmental corridor/green grid, with an increase in relevant biodiversity is sound, if applied with sound research and application	keep in regular contact at a local level and support with funding local projects	funding local projects +environmental benefits	The Applicant is committed to biodiversity net gain as outlined in the Biodiversity Net Gain Principles and Approach document submitted as part of the DCO application. The Applicant has continued to develop a community benefit fund and consulted the Community Liaison Groups in respect of proposed themes and criteria. As outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection and	9.5 Biodiversity Net Gain Report Principles and Approach 6.2.4.26 Onshore Order Limits 6.1.4 Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with? (Please see our panel on Stakeholder Engagement)	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you have any thoughts, feedback or local knowledge that you would like to share in relation to the Alternative Route Option Search Zone and our indicative Cable Corridor as shown within it?	Do you agree that the Alternative Route Search Zone is the optimum search area north of the A52 for the onshore electrical cable corridor to the Weston Marsh grid connection option?	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities? We are keen to hear any other thoughts or comments you have on our proposals. Please leave your comments here:	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
								Consideration of Alternatives the Applicant was able to microsite the cable route to avoid potential impacts to the area of St Marys Church, Wainfleet. This was communicated during the Phase 2 consultation and is shown in the Project's Onshore Order Limits.	
P1A_33	East Midlands Ambulance Service.				Back road improvements.	General Traffic and Large and Heavy vehicle routeing.	Back Road improvements.	Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.	8.15 Outline CTMP

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with? (Please see our panel on Stakeholder Engagement)	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you have any thoughts, feedback or local knowledge that you would like to share in relation to the Alternative Route Option Search Zone and our indicative Cable Corridor as shown within it?	Do you agree that the Alternative Route Search Zone is the optimum search area north of the A52 for the onshore electrical cable corridor to the Weston Marsh grid connection option?	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities? We are keen to hear any other thoughts or comments you have on our proposals. Please leave your comments here:	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
								The CTMP also provides that the ambulance service will be given written notice of any temporary road closures and Abnormal Indivisible Load (AIL) deliveries and kept fully informed throughout the delivery period.	
P1A_34	N/A	Regular updates to interested parties, town/parish councils to give facts and reduce rumours	Cable better than overhead., as long as those directly and indirectly affected - residents and businesses have mitigations and realistic compensation	Yes, (proviso that geology of eastern option really is unsuitable)	I don't think ecology affected long term by underground cables (most land after all is Farmed)	Sympathetic treatment of residents and businesses directly affected	Involve local organisations e.g. town council (for me) re: community project plans it has - sports ??? Finance, for example)	The Applicant has noted this response. Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available. The Applicant has engaged with local organisations throughout the consultation phases and will continue to do so in particular in respect of the proposed community benefit fund.	N/A

Comment ID	Are there any additional stakeholder groups that you think we should be engaging with? (Please see our panel on Stakeholder Engagement)	Do you have any further comments or suggestions about how we engage with the local community? Are there lessons learned from previous projects that could help improve our processes?	Do you have any thoughts, feedback or local knowledge that you would like to share in relation to the Alternative Route Option Search Zone and our indicative Cable Corridor as shown within it?	Do you agree that the Alternative Route Search Zone is the optimum search area north of the A52 for the onshore electrical cable corridor to the Weston Marsh grid connection option?	Do you have any ideas or feedback on how we can help deliver positive environmental change in the local area?	Do you have any general comments or suggestions as to how we can be a good neighbour to the local community as we progress our offshore wind farm plans?	How can we deliver a substantive and enduring benefit to local communities? We are keen to hear any other thoughts or comments you have on our proposals. Please leave your comments here:	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
P1A_35	N/A	N/A	N/A	Don't agree with alternative route. Alternate route disagree affects residential area	Not been widely published. Lots of local people not aware.	More information to local areas. Seems to only target those within close proximity.	Thought was only going under the sea. Don't agree with going through residential areas or local farmland.	<p>The designation of the Wash SPA and its SSSI status meant the Applicant was unable to take forward for consideration the option of siting the cable corridor under the Wash due to the likely adverse effects on integrity on the SPA.</p> <p>The Applicant has undertaken an iterative site selection process and while there are more residential receptors near to the alternative route option it was concluded that the engineering challenges and subsequent environmental considerations, including impacts on the local communities) would be significantly reduced by adopting the alternative route.</p>	Site Selection (6.1.4)

4 Applicant Responses to Phase 2 Section 47 Consultation Responses

Table 4.1 Email and Freephone Responses received during the Phase 2 Consultation

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
P2_45	District Councillor	Email	<p>Dear Outer Dowsing team I am a Boston Borough councillor for Kirton & Frampton ward, and also a parish councillor in both Kirton and Frampton parishes, through which your proposed route passes. Local people have already started to contact me about your project.</p> <p>I have seen your assorted planning notices on roadsides and have received your leaflet through the post, but I am shocked that you have not set up consultation events in either Frampton or Kirton for later this month. The distance between Butterwick and Fosdyke appears greater than the distances between your other proposed consultations, few people from Kirton or Frampton travel ordinarily to Butterwick or Fosdyke, and Fosdyke isn't served by any public transport.</p> <p>Residents in Kirton and Frampton would be affected by your plans (as would people in Wyberton, and Fishtoft across the river) and probably deserve more proximate consultation I am therefore asking you to please set up a consultation event in Kirton or Frampton. There seems to be a window in your timetable between the Old Leake and Butterwick planned events. Kirton has a very convenient Town Hall in the centre of the village with parking, and Frampton has a suitable village hall.</p> <p>Please let me know whether you can assist or would like more information. Sincerely [redacted]</p>	<p>The Applicant aimed to balance the locations for their in-person events to be spread along the cable corridor and onshore substation search zones as much as possible. The venue selection aimed to cover the consultation area with choice of locations being informed by consultation on our Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC) with Lincolnshire County Council and the three District Councils and engagement with Parish & Town Councils through our Community Liaison Groups (CLGs).</p> <p>All residents within the consultation zone received a leaflet outlining all the ways in which they can view the consultation materials and talk to the team. The Applicant also hosted virtual webinars for consultees with internet access, access to a local library or in other public places with internet access if they were unable to attend an event in person.</p>	N/A
P2_46	Member of the Public	Email	<p>I spoke to you before about the "consultation" and pointed out that that the obvious answer is the Alford option. This is because (a) it is the shortest, (b) it would cause least disruption and probably the most important (c) far fewer members of the public will be affected by the electromagnetic fields which are emitted by high voltage cables. On the matter of "consultation" I note that, in the Wrangle Parish Magazine, comments to the effect that the cables <u>will</u> run through the village. Not only does this indicate a "done job", but, according to your plan they are nowhere near the village. Anyone smell fish?</p>	<p>The reference 'East of Alford' was used to provide geographical context as it was the nearest town to the Lincs Node substation search area. Following confirmation of the grid connection the Project confirmed that the substation would be located at Weston Marsh.</p> <p>EMF impacts have been scoped out of the assessment undertaken as no impacts are expected.</p>	N/A
P2_47	Member of the Public	Email	<p>How big will the substation be and will it generate a lot of noise.</p> <p>Will any properties be compulsory purchased.</p> <p>Thanks</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	<p>The Applicant is not proposing to compulsorily acquire any residential properties and wherever possible is seeking to agree voluntary agreements in respect of all land interests.</p>	
P2_48	Member of the Public	Phone Call	<p>The individual called to request more information on the Project in general. No comments as to the proposals were provided.</p>	<p>Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available</p>	N/A
P2_49	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Hi, I have received your leaflet today - it's very clear except the map is too small to get a clear picture of where the search zones are.</p>	<p>Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant has made consultation</p>	N/A

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
			Is there a 'zoomable' version anywhere ? Regards [redacted]	documentation available on the Project website including all relevant plans.	
P2_49	Member of the Public	Email	Thanks for that. Yes the plans are ideal and I can now get a really good idea of your proposed route. As far as I can see its a very sensible route past Wainfleet either side of the A52. Regards [redacted]	Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant has made consultation documentation available on the Project website including all relevant plans	
P2_50	Member of the Public	Email	Hi, Thank you for your brochure. [Redacted- proposed alternative route] It would save you a fortune in compensation for the two big caravan sites and farmland and gardens can be restored once the cables are laid If you want to bring a detailed OS map I can show you the route I would recommend. [redacted- personal details] I am retired to the seaside now	The Applicant has noted this comment. Alternative routes are considered in Chapter 4 Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives. In respect of the proposed route outlined in this comment the Applicant has committed to not installing infrastructure within residential properties and this route would affect the gardens of two residential properties.	6.1.4 Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives
P2_51	Member of the Public	Phone Call	Individual called to discuss the possibility of a PID in Fishtoft.	The Applicant aimed to balance the locations for their in-person events to be spread along the cable corridor and onshore substation search zones as much as possible. The venue selection aimed to cover the consultation area with choice of locations being informed by consultation on our Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC) with Lincolnshire County Council and the three District Councils and engagement with Parish & Town Councils through our Community Liaison Groups (CLGs). All residents within the consultation zone received a leaflet outlining all the ways in which they can view the consultation materials and talk to the team. The Applicant also hosted virtual webinars for consultees with internet access, access to a local library or in other public places with internet access if they were unable to attend an event in person.	N/A
P2_52	Member of the Public	Phone Call	Individual called having seen the s48 notice in the Times and wished the Project the best of luck.	The Applicant has noted this response.	N/A

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
P2_54	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Dear Sirs I write to you as [redacted]</p> <p>With its position following the Wash coastline the work involved in laying the cables on the longer southern route will have a hugely detrimental impact on wildlife in our area. Many wetland birds fly back and forth from marsh to farmland on a daily basis relative to tide times and having to cross an 80m swathe of what to them will be very unnatural terrain could see them leave the area and their breeding ground forever. The nearby marsh is an SSSI and a wetland of international importance designated under the Ramsar Convention.</p> <p>In terms of amenity this fenland area is unique ‘All sky and geometry’ as the poet John Clare once said. It is peaceful, rural and unaffected by both light and noise pollution. It attracts walkers, horse riders, star gazers, ornithologists and lepidopterists. Nearby lanes form part of the national cycling route and the Cross Britain Way.</p> <p>Why change this much-valued amenity to provide electricity to a growing population which in my view this country and the world simply cannot support sustainably?</p> <p>On a personal note this cable will cut through agricultural land which [redacted]. Over that time through the careful use of fertility building leys, a diverse cropping rotation, timely cultivations and organic manures we have built up an unbelievably fertile, healthy living soil that grows the healthiest crops man can produce. Taking off that living topsoil and destroying the subsoil with compaction from heavy machinery will destroy what we have taken 23 years to achieve. I hazard to guess how long it will take for soil fertility and microbial life to recover. Not in my lifetime.</p> <p>When I hear that it would be possible to join the grid at the Lincolnshire Node CNSS and by doing so only disturb the acres of caravans adjacent to Skegness, Ingoldmells and Chapel St Leonards where almost all wildlife moved away years ago, I would hope common sense will prevail. Surely the decision-makers must have some care for the environment, or are they being dictated to by our Government who to my mind are so out of touch with what is right that we have no hope?</p> <p>I very much hope that the interests of our diminishing natural environment will prevail over financial gain.</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted these comments.</p> <p>In respect of nature reserves, the Applicant has committed in the OLEMS to avoiding Annex 1 habitats, Priority Habitats and Lincolnshire Biodiversity Action Plan habitats through the use of trenchless techniques.</p> <p>Details on potential impacts and relevant mitigation for onshore ornithology is outlined and addressed in Chapter 22 Onshore Ornithology. The RSPB Reserves at both Frampton Marsh and Freiston Shore have been taken into consideration during the design process to ensure these sites are avoided.</p> <p>The Project would make a meaningful contribution to producing affordable energy and achieving national energy security with reduced reliance on fossil fuels and exposure to volatile global wholesale energy prices. Further details as to the need for the Project and how the Project accords with Government ambitions for net zero and renewable energy targets is set out in Chapter 2 Need Policy and Legislative Context.</p> <p>The Applicant has engaged with local landowners throughout the process and have submitted an Outline CoCP and an Outline Soil Management Plan(SMP) which is intended to address the concerns raised and includes provisions around ensuring cross contamination between non-organic and organic fields is avoided and protocols for the handling and storage of subsoil.</p>	<p>8.10 OLEMS</p> <p>6.1.22 Onshore Ornithology 6.1.2 Need Policy and Legislative Context 8.1 Outline CoCP</p>
P2_56	Member of the Public	Phone Call	<p>Individual called to discuss the possibility of hosting consultation events in Huttoft due to the visual impact.</p>	<p>The Applicant aimed to balance the locations for their in-person events to be spread along the cable corridor and onshore substation search zones as much as possible. The venue selection aimed to cover the consultation area with choice of locations being informed by consultation on our Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC) with Lincolnshire County Council and the three District Councils and engagement with Parish & Town Councils through our Community Liaison Groups (CLGs).</p>	<p>N/A</p>

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
				All residents within the consultation zone received a leaflet outlining all the ways in which they can view the consultation materials and talk to the team. The Applicant also hosted virtual webinars for consultees with internet access, access to a local library or in other public places with internet access if they were unable to attend an event in person.	
P2_57	Member of the Public	Phone Call	Individual called in response to receiving the leaflet. Property is located within the consultation zone but had previously been advised that they would not be affected.	The Applicant has noted this response. The criteria the Applicant has utilised for identifying consultation zones for each phase is outlined in the Consultation Report.	Consultation Report (5.1)
P2_58	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Dear Sir/Madam</p> <p>I write to make my objections to the further disruption and negative impact that the above will cause and create if it goes ahead.</p> <p>I attach a section of information sent via my email today through our local Tourism guide for locals to read. It is regarding the new King Charles III Coastal path which runs through Wolla Bank. It is an area of particular " Scientific Interest " and you intend to dig it up and displace and negatively impact the wildlife there.</p> <p>We locals have had enough. This work will not help us. We have already had your heavy vehicles pounding our broken roads and lanes, coming through our small town of Alford and rocking and damaging our period properties, none of which you have rectified.</p> <p>Enough is enough.</p> <p>Yours faithfully,</p>	<p>Impacts on King Charles III England Coastal Path are considered in Chapter 25 Land Use noting there will be no closure or diversions in relation to this footpath and the Applicant has committed to no construction access to the beach.</p> <p>Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p>	6.1.25 Land Use 8.15 CTMP
P2_59	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Good morning,</p> <p>I have read the available information online and attended the consultation at Anderby. I have spoken to staff but am contacting you to express my concerns in writing about the impact of the noise of the substation in Huttoft if it is sited at the Lincolnshire node. I understand that there will be noise mitigation but due to prevailing winds, and the fact that the baseline sound level is so quiet, particularly early morning and in the evening, with the proximity of the site to Huttoft village there would be an impact on the residents.</p> <p>I also have mentioned to staff at the consultation that I have concerns about any road closures or delays on Alford road where the route to the substation crosses. I know that the cable will go underneath the road. As a First Responder I am dispatched by the ambulance service to attend medical emergencies within my local community. As we live in a rural community, it can take a long time for an ambulance to arrive following a 999 call and as a First Responder I am usually first on scene. I can be dispatched from Huttoft to patients in the surrounding area and any delay in reaching a patient due to diversions or hold ups due to construction could potentially cost lives.</p> <p>Kind regards</p>	<p>Following the confirmation of the National Grid connection point the Lincs Node substation location was no longer progressed and this was communicated to the local community ahead of the Autumn Consultation.</p> <p>In respect of local concerns in respect of traffic and travel impacts and that the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p> <p>The CTMP also provides that the ambulance service will be given written notice of any temporary road closures and AIL deliveries and</p>	8.15 CTMP

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
				kept fully informed throughout the delivery period.	
P2_60	Member of the Public	Email	<p>It seems the sub station will be being built much closer to my home than I imagined. I accept we need to bring onshore power to everyone in the uk but I am wondering about the impact of noise pollution where I live. I have only recently moved here to enjoy my retirement and picked somewhere that was a quiet environment. Change happens but I would request that independent noise surveys are carried out to assess and document current quiet levels. At present on an evening we enjoy levels at around 28/29 decibels. If this is exceeded after construction and normal operation I will be seeking your assurance our homes will receive noise abatement measures in compensation.</p> <p>There is very little mention about huttoft village in the consultation even though we are the closest population to the development. It seems strange that an area of Lincolnshire which enjoys such low population levels that this project would be located close to a village. I look forward to your reply at your earliest opportunity</p>	Following the confirmation of the National Grid connection point the Lincs Node substation location was no longer progressed and this was communicated to the local community ahead of the Autumn Consultation.	N/A
P2_61	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Why don't you put the cables under the sea as you have in other places. It would save all the disruptions that farmers have to put up with and the countless objections. Would be quicker and less aggravation in the long run . Stop trying to do it on the cheap, that never works.</p>	The designation of the Wash SPA and its SSSI status meant the Applicant was unable to take forward for consideration the option of siting the cable corridor under the Wash due to the likely adverse effects on integrity on the SPA.	
P2_63	Member of the Public	Email	<p>I oppose to the proposed Huttoft/Thurlby site for the Outer Dowsing Wind Farm electric substation.</p> <p>One of my reasons for opposing the substation is, for the obvious reason of the depreciation in value of our property and the negative visual impact of a large substation on our beautiful countryside.</p> <p>The most important reason for my opposition to the substation is, however, the potential health threat to our 2 beautiful children. The EMF is a dangerous unknown. This substation being in such close proximity has an unknown danger of the effects of long term constant exposure to the EMF. Also, the unknown possible negative health effects of constant sound frequencies and low level vibration.</p> <p>At the meeting held, we heard lots of talk about "shouldn't " but, when health is concerned "shouldn't " isn't a risk that should ever be taken. This proposed substation, with it's underground electric cables, would also be in close proximity to Huttoft Nursery and Primary school. This is too big a risk to be taking.</p>	<p>Following the confirmation of the National Grid connection point the Lincs Node substation location was no longer progressed and this was communicated to the local community ahead of the Autumn Consultation.</p> <p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>EMF impacts have been scoped out of the assessment undertaken as no impacts are expected.</p>	5.1.2 Scoping Report
P2_64	Member of the Public	Phone Call	Individual called to query whether the Project could be linked to a tidal project for a pump storage system, raised concerns about low flying aircraft.	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>In respect of low flying aircraft Chapter 16 Aviation, Radar, Military and Communication sets out any potential impacts and how these have been addressed.</p>	Aviation, Radar, Military and Communication (6.1.16)
P2_68	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact	hi I live in asserby. can you please explain to me exactly what the asserby Lincolnshire node study area involves. could you explain what development(new roadways, increased traffic, where are the roads being planned on being used and new roadways constructed, erection of new wind turbines etc) will happen if it happens	Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and provided updated information as the Project	Project Description (6.1.3)

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
			thank you in advance of your reply [redacted]	was refined and further details, including the grid connection confirmation and subsequent substation location confirmation, were available. Following the confirmation of the National Grid connection point the Lincs Node substation location was no longer progressed and this was communicated to the local community ahead of the Autumn Consultation. Details of the Project are set out in Chapter 3 Project Description.	
P2_69	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact	I have been in touch with you before with emails, calls and attending display meetings at Anderby Creek. I have talked about the screening off of your development south of anderby creek. You have agreed to screening and camouflage to hide the development and help it blend in. We have a local artist that would be perfect for creating the right designs for your fencing. Her name is Geraldine Segre and she lives at [redacted]. You can see her artwork on her Facebook page. This really would be an opportunity to work with the community to win people over to your development.	The Applicant has noted this response.	N/A
P2_70	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact	"On studying your onshore cable route maps, do you realise the route crosses or comes near to wartime aircraft crash sites, some containing human remains, which if disturbed, contravene the Protection of Military Remains Act. [POMRA 86]. Regards [redacted] Lincolnshire Aircraft Recovery Group [LARG] Secretary"	The Applicant has noted this response. The Environmental Impact Assessment undertaken has not identified any crash sites or military remains within the Order Limits however a protocol will be included within the Outline Written Scheme of Investigation.	N/A
P2_71	Member of the Public	Email/ODOW Web Contact	"I emailed this request, but with no response I wish to ask the following questions I was away when the recent webinars were on, are they available to watch a recording 1. When will the decision be made for which route the cables will take, as I live in the area of the alternative route through Friskney 2. With regard to the construction traffic management plan, have the roads to the work sites identified in the plan been assessed for suitability; if they are not suitable, will they be upgraded if there is no alternative route 3. What equipment will be stored on the work site (No.17) off Howgarth and Skirmore road"	The Applicant has noted this response. Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details, including the grid connection confirmation and subsequent substation location confirmation, were available.	N/A
P2_72	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact	I have the following concerns about the proposed construction works next to my property: Noise and dust affecting my well-being as an asthma sufferer, the boundary being [redacted] from my house on the side of my bedroom, sitting room and kitchen. Also, Wash Road is part of cycle route 1 and the works traffic will cause a danger to	The Applicant has noted this response. In respect of noise and vibration, the potential impacts of the Project have been addressed in Chapter 26 Noise and Vibration and principles and protocols outlined in the Outline Noise and	6.1.26 Noise and Vibration 6.1.27 Traffic and Transport 6.1.19 Air Quality 8.1 Outline CoCP

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
			<p>users of this popular route. Concerns about the high water table and noisy pumping to remove the water and how the removal will of said water be disposed of i.e. tankers?"</p>	<p>Vibration Management Plan appended to the Outline Code of Construction Practice.</p> <p>In respect of dust the potential impacts are addressed in Chapter 19 Air Quality and principles and protocols outlined in the Outline Air Quality Management Plan(AQMP) appended to the Outline CoCP</p> <p>Traffic and transport impacts have been assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. In respect of local concerns in respect of traffic and travel impacts and that the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p> <p>In terms of drainage, the Applicant has submitted an Outline Surface Water Drainage Strategy which sets out how surface water will be pumped via settling tanks or ponds within the Order Limits to remove sediment and potential contaminant before being discharged into local ditches or drains.</p>	<p>8.1.2 Outline AQMP 8.1.5 Outline SWDS 8.15 Outline CTMP</p>
P2_73	Local Group	ODOW Web Contact	<p>"I represent the Fosdyke playing field and Social club on [redacted] . We have concerns that we will be exposed to noise and dust during construction. Plus possible disturbance to ground water and drainage and access if roads are to the village are restricted. Also we are used by people who use cycle route 1 and the construction may disrupt this"</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant has submitted an Outline Noise and Vibration Management Plan, an Outline Air Quality Management Plan as part of the Code of Construction Practice and an Outline Surface Water and Drainage Strategy which set out principles and protocols to minimise and mitigate effects from noise, dust and drainage.</p> <p>Traffic and transport impacts have been assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. In respect of local concerns in respect of traffic and travel impacts and that the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p> <p>In terms of drainage, the Applicant has submitted an Outline Surface Water Drainage</p>	<p>6.1.26 Noise and Vibration 6.1.27 Traffic and Transport 6.1.19 Air Quality 8.1 Outline CoCP 8.1.2 Outline AQMP 8.1.5 Outline SWDS 8.15 Outline CTMP</p>

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
				Strategy which sets out how surface water will be pumped via settling tanks or ponds within the Order Limits to remove sediment and potential contaminant before being discharged into local ditches or drains.	
P2_75	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact	<p>The most effective/efficient way to bring the power ashore to the Spalding area is to lay cables under the Wash.</p> <p>I am aware that this is currently not permitted due to environmental issues. However, it is estimated that, without government intervention, the entire area, possibly as far as Lincoln in the west and Peterborough to the south, will most likely be flooded, due to climate change, within the next 30to40 years. This will change the entire situation and the nature of the Wash area thus making current legislation pointless.</p> <p>If the organization which oversees the Outer Dowsing Offshore project were able to assist in persuading the government to build a Wash barrier to prevent flooding, it would give huge savings for the project. it would also preserve many thousands of homes as well as many thousands of acres of prime agricultural land.</p> <p>THANK YOU.</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this response The designation of the Wash SPA and its SSSI status meant the Applicant was unable to take forward for consideration the option of siting the cable corridor under the Wash due to the likely adverse effects on integrity on the SPA.</p> <p>The Applicant has committed to not routing cables through residential properties.</p>	N/A
P2_77	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact	<p>Good morning I'm all up for these improvements. Is there going to be extra traffic on the a52 near rainbows end bungalow [redacted] and when is the work going to take of. Thank you, kind regards, [redacted]</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>Traffic and transport impacts have been assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport.</p> <p>In respect of local concerns in respect of traffic and travel impacts and that the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p>	<p>6.1.27 Traffic and Transport</p> <p>8.15 Outline CTMP</p>
P2_78	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact	<p>Hi, my neighbours have received letters about the phase 2 consultation. As we are also close to the proposed route would also like to be kept informed.</p> <p>Thanks</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this response. Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and affected landowners and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details, including the grid connection confirmation and subsequent substation location confirmation, were available.</p>	N/A
P2_79	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>I live in [redacted] The folk in the village, & all around me, have received paperwork from your company about proposed works; I have not received anything - should I be</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this response. Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and affected landowners and provided updated</p>	N/A

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
			<p>concerned?</p> <p>(I have also just rung you & left a message, with contact details; looking forward to a swift response.)</p> <p>Yours faithfully,</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	<p>information as the Project was refined and further details were available.</p>	
P2_80	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact	<p>[redacted] resident and property owner Enquiring about the onshore cable route.</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this response. Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and affected landowners and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details were available.</p>	N/A
P2_81	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact Form	<p>1, I do not want you here at Anderby creek. We had 4 years of Triton Knoll and that was more than enough. Go somewhere else.</p> <p>2, You have not sent information about this development to the 500 caravan owners, they too are major stake holders. You need to obtain all their home addresses and delay the 29th of November cutoff date until they have been informed. Where did you get your original contact list from? You have missed lots of households in Anderby Creek.</p> <p>3, What date do you propose to start this development?</p> <p>4, The residents of Anderby creek do not want the Parish council to represent us at all during this consultation. Do we need to form our own group, to make sure that we actually get heard?</p> <p>5, How many residents actually came to Anderby village hall to see you?</p> <p>6, Did you delay the consultation event till after the caravan season closed down to avoid owners having a say?</p> <p>7, Will we be able to make comments towards the proposed marine licence with regard to noise.</p> <p>8, Do we complain to east Lindsey and LCC about this development application?</p>	<p>Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and affected landowners, including caravan parks, and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details were available.</p> <p>The Project's landfall site is at Wolla Bank located approximately 1km south of Anderby Creek Village, as shown on Figure 4.26 (document reference 6.2.4.26). The Applicant has removed the access through Anderby Creek village in response to stakeholder feedback and has committed to no construction works on the beach.</p> <p>The Applicant has undergone a rigorous selection and consideration of alternatives process in relation to the adopted landfall site as outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection and Alternatives.</p>	<p>6.2.4.26 Figure 4.26 6.1.4 Site Selection and Alternatives 5.1 Consultation Report</p>
P2_82	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact Form	<p>Hi - we live in [redacted] and are alarmed by the prospect of a large substation right next to us. As I understand it from the scoping report the area of this will be 60 acres in total which is huge. The building size will be huge and potentially high and there will be a large visual impact on the area which is flat so will be seen for miles around.</p>	<p>Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and affected landowners and provided updated information as the Project was refined and</p>	<p>6.1.28 LVIA 6.1.25 Land USE 6.1.29 Socioeconomics 8.10 OLEMS</p>

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
			<p>This will be a blot on the landscape and will be completely out of character with the area which is agricultural and which has lots of tourism.</p> <p>It will also have a knock-on effect on house prices in the area. Will compensation be paid to homeowners to allow for this?</p> <p>When will the decision be made as to whether to site the substation here or at the alternative site? Is consideration being given to possibly locate the substation offshore?</p> <p>Regards [redacted]</p>	<p>further details were available. The Applicant has noted this response. Details of the iterative site selection process undertaken are set out in Chapter 4 Site Selection. The alternative route has been selected as it represents less of an impact on the Grade 1 agricultural land. It is not feasible to locate the OnSS offshore.</p> <p>In terms of the substation the Applicant has committed to mitigation planting that will be utilised to create an effective screen reducing and/or eliminating significant effects on landscape character and visual amenity. Details of the proposed planting are set out in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Strategy (OLEMS).</p> <p>Details on potential impacts on agricultural land and tourism are outlined in Chapter 25 Land Use and Chapter 29 Socioeconomics.</p>	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
P2_86	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact Form	<p>Are there detailed plans that include the locality to housing please? I am in Friskney and just want to clarify the route. Also, if you are granted permission for this, do you offer community grants to the local areas affected by the underground cabling route?</p> <p>Thank you for your help.</p>	<p>Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and affected landowners and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details were available.</p> <p>The Applicant has continued to develop a community benefit fund and consulted the Community Liaison Groups in respect of proposed themes and criteria.</p>	N/A
P2_87	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact Form	<p>After attending the consultation event in Fosdyke on 5.11.22, my husband and I have several concerns.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Effect of traffic on A16, A17 and the roundabout at Algakirk. <p>Many delays caused by Viking Link speed limits across Lincolnshire because the limits stay in place even when no work is taking place. Remove the limit (timed speed limit led display?) out of working hours and particularly at weekends in the holiday season. Traffic is already heavy; ambulances are routinely unavailable in Lincolnshire and many emergency hospital admissions arrive by car. Private vehicles do not have blue lights and sirens to make their way through the queues of traffic on the A16 and arrival at Pilgrim Hospital can be fatally delayed.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Archaeological Impact. <p>The area surrounding the southernmost proposed blue area for the compound is close to Roman settlements in the Gosberton area that are not fully excavated. The work for</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and affected landowners and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details were available.</p> <p>Traffic and transport impacts have been assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. In respect of local concerns in respect of traffic and travel impacts and that the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan</p>	6.1.27 Traffic and Transport 6.1.20 Archaeology and Cultural Heritage

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
			<p>the project, and the resulting traffic may both impact negatively. Although we understand that archaeological surveys are planned, we are concerned that this will have little result on the decisions made. When the contractor for the survey is chosen is important that they directly consult with local residents who may have undocumented evidence.</p> <p>3. The large scale maps available at the consultation did not have the road numbers printed on them. It took 20mins to locate our area of concern because of this. This was irritating and made us feel that our feedback was not really important</p>	<p>(CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p> <p>Consideration around cultural and archaeological heritage in the area has been set out in Chapter 20 Archaeology and Cultural Heritage. The Applicant has submitted an Outline WSI (OWSI) as part of the application. Any archaeological investigations will be carried out in accordance with a WSI approved by Lincolnshire County Council (LCC) in consultation with the relevant planning authority and Historic England in accordance with the OWSI.</p>	
P2_88	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact Form	<p>Hi, I am enquiring whether my home will be affected by plans for the OUTER DOWSING OFFSHORE WIND FARM?</p> <p>I live [redacted]</p> <p>Thankyou, [redacted]</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and affected landowners and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details were available.</p>	N/A
P2_89	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact Form	<p>Am interested to know where the proposed on shore substation sites will be located .</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and affected landowners and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details were available.</p>	N/A
P2_90	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact Form	<p>I am someone who very much supports the drive to replace fossil fuels with renewal projects and thus will be a natural supporter of wind farms, provided the whole infrastructure and arrangements around them meet certain environmental & social considerations.</p> <p>Your leaflet that I received through my door is sadly inadequate. The best way to ensure that people voice opposition to a project like this is to give them scant information so that they jump to all kinds of conclusions, which is precisely what you have done. I have a large amount of questions about the project and I would have</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and affected landowners and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details were available.</p>	<p>6.1.4 Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives</p> <p>6.1.3 Project Description</p> <p>6.1.25 Land Use</p> <p>6.1.26 Noise and Vibration</p> <p>6.1.27 Traffic and Transport</p> <p>8.1 Outline CoCP</p> <p>8.1.1 Outline NVMP</p>

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
			<p>liked the opportunity to discuss them at length with yourselves. One physical consultation in a village hall about 7 miles away from Alford (where I live), considering your proposal suggests the possibility of a substation near Alford, is also wholly inadequate. Why on earth did you not hold a consultation in Alford?</p> <p>So here are the points that I would like answered or information given about:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * Can you please provide a detailed map showing the exact route of the proposed cabling from Anderby Creek, and the precise location of the suggested substation east of Alford. These are nowhere to be found (easily) on your website. * What will the scale of the substation be, including the height of structures on site etc.? * Will the substation be camouflaged and how visible will it be from local roads? * What kind of land is the proposed substation to be built on e.g. greenfield, brownfield, agricultural class ? * What would be the environmental impact of the substation in terms of wildlife and the natural landscape? * What would be the impact of the substation in terms of noise and traffic? * What other sites were considered apart from the one near Spalding? <p>I would be grateful for detailed answers to all of the above questions.</p> <p>Thank You</p>	<p>The reference 'East of Alford' was used to provide geographical context as it was the nearest town to the Lincs Node substation search area. Consultation events were therefore held at Anderby due to its position within the core consultation zone and proximity to the landfall search area. Following confirmation of the grid connection the Project confirmed that the substation would be located at Weston Marsh and the subsequent PID was held at Hogsthorpe Village Hall. The Applicant has provided virtual exhibitions throughout all phases of the consultation to facilitate engagement wherever possible.</p> <p>Details of the substation design are set out in Chapter 3 Project Description, the Applicant has committed to mitigation planting that will be utilised to create an effective screen reducing and/or eliminating significant effects on landscape character and visual amenity. Details of the proposed planting are set out in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Strategy (OLEMS).</p> <p>Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted an Outline CoCP including an Outline NVMP which contains protocols and principles to be adhered to during construction to mitigate impacts.</p>	<p>8.10 OLEMS 8.15 CTMP</p>
P2_91	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact Form	<p>I would like to know more about the proposed substation and where it will be built, also the proposed route of the cable. Thank you.</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and affected landowners and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details were available. Following confirmation of the grid connection the Project</p>	N/A

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
				confirmed that the substation would be located at Weston Marsh.	
P2_92	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact Form	As an Alford Lincolnshire resident I have no objections to this project going ahead on proviso that it will be limited disruption to both local population and wildlife. I am NOT a NIMBY and understand the need for renewable energy and for the country to be more self-sufficient. If you decide to have a station close to Alford, I hope you will keep local residents informed of all of the project's developments. Thank you [redacted]	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and affected landowners and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details were available including the confirmation of the substation at Weston Marsh.</p> <p>Following the confirmation of the grid connection point at Weston Marsh the Applicant did not progress the Lincs Node option.</p>	N/A
P2_93	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact Form	Thank you for the leaflet I have received. I will not be able to attend the local meeting. I would like to say that I am in full favour of this system to be implemented. I suppose that some will say that they an eye sore...but I totally disagree...and in time we won't even notice them. I do not care about electric cars, they are not affordable either to buy or run regardless of where the electricity comes from, just another government "con" ... there are much more important things for electricity to be used for.	The Applicant has noted this response.	N/A
P2_94	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact Form	Please can you explain why I have received a leaflet from yourselves regarding my property. There is no information on the actual leaflet and as I work, I cannot attend the proposal seminars in my area	<p>The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant has carried out all phases of consultation in accordance with the SoCC drafted in consultation with the local authorities.</p> <p>The Applicant has provided virtual exhibitions throughout all phases of the consultation to facilitate engagement wherever possible.</p>	N/A
P2_95	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact Form	<p>Could you provide a detailed map of the core consultation zone(phase 1)</p> <p>It's difficult to see exactly where this is. Particularly the Woods off [redacted] and the farming land off both sides of [redacted] Thanks</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The Applicant has provided virtual exhibitions including plans throughout all phases of the consultation to facilitate engagement wherever possible.</p>	N/A
P2_96	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact Form	I am sure that you are aware that National Grid owns a parcel of land at Theddlethorpe which used to house the Conoco Phillips gas terminal. Many local people would welcome this site being used to bring ashore power from renewable sources. It is 240 acres in size so it might even accommodate a storage facility.	The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant's site selection has been influenced by the connection options initially proposed by National Grid and the final grid connection offer at Weston Marsh. The Applicant	N/A

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
				understands there are alternative plans being explored for Theddlethorpe.	
P2_98	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact Form	<p>Hi, would it be possible to receive more information regarding the project please, I've been contracted to Triton Knoll since 2014 and also advised RWE through the government expectorate hearings at the early stages and the initial ITT, right through to present day dealing with all landowner based issues, the reason I'm in contact with yourselves is I'm getting a large volume of calls from local landowners regarding the project and it may be a way of allaying the landowners fears/concerns.</p> <p>Many thanks.</p> <p>[redacted].</p>	The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant has continued to liaise with Triton Knoll as outlined in the Consultation Report.	5.1 Consultation Report
P2_99	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact Form	<p>I.e.: Wainfleet substation for outer dowsing windfarm.</p> <p>What disruption will this cause on mill lane and the surrounding area.</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The Project's substation will be located at Weston Marsh.</p>	N/A
P2_101	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact Form	<p>This is my response to your alternative rout option consultation - your website does not provide a link for responses, and I'm not risking Covid to come to one of your consultations.</p> <p>The original option went through agricultural land with very few houses and next to no public access. The alternative route goes through a number of villages and roads, as well as affecting agricultural areas. I am confused as to why this is even an argument: surely the original option, which causes the least disruption, should be the obvious one to pick? Seriously, I appreciate that consultations are an essential part of the democratic process, but this seems just silly. Go through the least populated, least used area.</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The Applicant has undertaken an iterative site selection process which is outlined in Chapter 4: Site Selection. The Applicant's chosen cable route has been selected to minimise the impact on arable land as far as possible</p>	Site Selection (6.1.4)
P2_102	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact Form	<p>I would like to see a more detailed plan for the alternative southern route (Phase 1A) showing where the proposed cable corridor would run as I own land in this area.</p> <p>Thankyou.</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The Applicant has provided virtual exhibitions including plans throughout all phases of the consultation to facilitate engagement wherever possible.</p>	N/A
P2_103	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact Form	<p>Good morning</p> <p>I'm not sure I understand the impact on individual homeowners for instance what if the proposed route runs through our property</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The Applicant has committed to not installing cables under residential properties.</p>	N/A
P2_104	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact Form	<p>hello, will you be knocking down houses in this process? if so, what are your plans about this?</p> <p>.</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The Applicant has committed to not installing cables under residential properties.</p>	N/A

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
P2_105	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact Form	Hi, is there a more detailed map as to what the proposed area near Anderby Creek is? As a resident I am very concerned about the effect this will have	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The Applicant has provided virtual exhibitions including plans throughout all phases of the consultation to facilitate engagement wherever possible.</p>	N/A
P2_106	Member of the Public	ODOW Web Contact Form	Please inform me of the route for the cables as I was away during your meetings and I would like to know the outcome as I live in Friskney Thank you	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and affected landowners and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details were available including the confirmation of the substation at Weston Marsh.</p>	N/A

4.1 Feedback Form Responses received during Phase 2 Consultation and the Applicant's Regard

Table 4.2 Feedback Form Responses received during Phase 2 Consultation and the Applicant's Regard

Where no comment has been provided on the form the relevant box is shaded.

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
P2_107	Fosdyke	Spoke to David about our concerns if the Western Marsh North or South Areas are chosen by National Grid. The western Marsh North would in our opinion be very bad for traffic and access from Gosberton Bank. We have already had bad experiences of poor traffic management where speed limits are imposed at 40mph and are maintained even when works traffic is not working. Access from Gosberton Bank is already poor at 60mph. Also traffic is more likely to lead to Gosberton Bank being used as a rat run.	Listen to our concerns and react accordingly.	Took time to listen.	The Applicant has noted this response. The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.	6.1.27 Traffic and Transport
P2_108	Fosdyke	An excellent idea all round		The information is brilliantly done - very informative	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_109	Fosdyke	Fully support the project	Bit more notice of the consultation by emails etc.	Great visual presentation	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation. The Applicant publicised the consultation in accordance with the SoCC.	N/A
P2_110	Fosdyke	1) Will access to the Bridleways be maintained. 2) What will be the EMC emissions from the underground cables. 3) Will the above affect livestock - horses when using the affected area. 4) What will be the time scale if access to the bridleways is denied. 5) Will the community benefit from the contributions made by ODOW?		At today's visit to Fosdyke, the construction manager was the most helpful in answering my queries.	The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant has submitted an Outline Public Access Management Plan (PAMP) as part of the DCO application which sets out the approach that will be taken to manage public access to existing Public Rights of Way (PRoW). There are no anticipated effects from EMF and this has been scoped out of the assessment in agreement with the Planning Inspectorate. The Applicant is developing a community benefit fund and consulted CLGs on the potential criteria and themes of the fund to be launched post consent.	8.17 Outline PAMP 5.1.2 Scoping Report
P2_111	Fosdyke				The Applicant has noted this This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
P2_112	Fosdyke	My property dates from the 1600s/1700s and has no foundations. On speaking to your people, you would need to do some monitoring of our property in case your works cause undermining of our foundations, or structural interference. Please contact me! Be aware land is so soft your project will sink.			The Applicant has noted this response. In respect of the soils, the Applicant has noted this. An Outline Soil Management Plan has been submitted as part of the application which sets out protocols and principles to be applied during construction including in respect of running silts.	8.1.3 Outline SMP
P2_113	Fosdyke	All questions answered. Hope they go to Alford! Has the local MP visited? All this proposed land is reclaimed from the sea and massive problems will arise with this project. Land will drop and sink after the work for years to come!	All ok.		The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant has conducted extensive ground surveys which are outlined in Chapter 23 Geology and Ground Conditions.	6.1.23 Geology and Ground Conditions
P2_114	Fosdyke				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
P2_115	Fosdyke		Excellent presentation, nothing appears to have been missed	As above	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on consultation.	N/A
P2_116	Fosdyke	Broadly support proposals, no major concerns.		Kept local area informed. Knowledgeable people on hand at consultation to answer questions.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_117	Fosdyke	Looking good.		Explained enough to gain confidence, thank you.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_118	Fosdyke	Your red line skirts past my property. So that's ok. [address removed] Be aware that there are badgers and racehorses along the old Roman Bank. Also watch out for the local black panther - truly. You will encounter cockle shells when digging beneath a metre in the [redacted] area.			The Applicant has noted this response. Ecological surveys have been conducted and the assessments are outlined in Chapter 21 Onshore Ecology including a Confidential Badger Desk Study and Field Survey.	6.1.21 Onshore Ecology 6.3.21.5 CONFIDENTIAL Badger Desk Study and Field Survey
P2_119	Fosdyke	Very well informed and helpful but still having major concerns about farming the land 'after' as the land will not recover enough to be able to grow anything on.			The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant has continued to engage with landowners in respect of provisions around the agricultural land. . The Applicant has endeavoured to minimise the permanent loss of land as far as practicable alongside measures to reinstate any temporarily impacted land to its original use following the completion of the construction works. The adoption of the alternatively proposed route north of the A52 impacted less Grade 1	6.1.25 Land Use

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
					agricultural land than the original proposed route.	
P2_120	Fosdyke		Make sure you keep to what you say otherwise residents will lose trust in you	Engaged with the community, answered all my questions, very impressed.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_121	Fosdyke				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
P2_122	Fosdyke	The whole proposal seems backwards. Surely the land connection points and land connections should have been sorted first to save a lot of time wasted on what might be.			The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant has undertaken an iterative site selection process as outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection. The offshore wind Array Area is determined through the Crown Estate leasing process.	6.1.4 Site Selection
P2_123	Fosdyke	We would like to know the following: Position of service road? How much noise will there be? Can we visit a similar construction to see how large it is? How much noise will there be after it has been constructed and running? On a flood plain.	Give precise position.	Called a meeting.	The Applicant has noted this response. Details of the Project's proposals are outlined in Chapter 3 Project Description. In respect flood risk, potential impacts of the Project have been assessed in Chapter 24 Hydrology and Flood Risk. The Applicant has submitted an Outline CoCP which sets out the Applicant's approach to flood management. A flood management and response plan will be produced as part of the final CoCP. Potential noise impacts are outlined in Chapter 26 Noise and Vibration. The Outline CoCP also includes an Outline NVMP which contains protocols and principles to be adhered to during construction to mitigate impacts.	6.1.3 Project Description 6.1.24 Hydrology and Flood Risk 6.1.26 Noise and Vibration 8.1 Outline CoCP
P2_124	Fosdyke	Understand the need for easier power. Also see the need to utilise wind power and safe delivery of said power to the National Grid. Looking at the maps featuring the impact on the surrounding countryside I do feel that of the three suggested sites, the most obvious would be North of the Welland with access from the A16. This allows proximity to N9 and negates the need to burrow under the River Welland.	I think what has been done is commensurate with what we need to know to accept what is going to happen. You take what you need to understand.	What you have done.	The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant has undertaken an iterative site selection process as outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection. The Applicant's adopted site is Surfleet Marsh (north of the Welland) and will take advantage of the access off the A16 as shown in Figure 4.19 (document reference 6.2.4.19).	6.1.4 Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives 6.2.4.19 Figure 4.19 8.1.1 Outline NVMP

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
P2_125	Fosdyke	Probably the site north of the Welland would be much easier to use. You would not need to come under the River Welland or build a long access road. These pylons buzz and crackle in the cold weather which would be very worrying. The impact on the wildlife would be destructive. The migrating geese use the marsh as a flight path - is it possible to visit an existing site?	Make sure you respond to emails and telephone calls please.	Good presentation, plenty of people to answer questions.	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The Applicant has undertaken an iterative site selection process as outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection. The Applicant's adopted site is Surfleet Marsh (north of the Welland) and will take advantage of the access off the A16 as shown in Figure 4.19 (document reference 6.2.4.19).</p> <p>The Applicant has committed to burying the onshore cables.</p> <p>Ecological surveys have been conducted and the assessments are outlined in Chapter 21 Onshore Ecology and 22 Onshore Ornithology. Provisions The OLEMS sets out provisions to mitigate against any impacts on the local ornithology.</p>	6.1.4 Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives 6.1.21 Onshore Ecology 6.1.22 Onshore Ornithology 8.10 OLEMS
P2_126	Fosdyke		Information was very clear.	The details are very good and clear.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the Project.	N/A
P2_127	Fosdyke				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
P2_128	Fosdyke	I comment as an active farmer on the route south of Boston between [redacted] Just before the route starts on our land, you propose crossing a major IDB drain twice - a route 100m to the southeast would miss these IDB drains and cross just one minor IDB drain. My other major concern is our own buried land drains - we carry this out ourselves and lay them at 15m centres. We have been told that the cables will have a minimum depth of 1.5m and that we will not be permitted to drain across the cable line post installation. These 'bottomless' slits give the opportunity to bury deeper (? 3m minimum) and allow us to re-drain the fields in their optimum direction post installation. Alternatively easy directional drilling at 5-10m deep would avoid all obstructions and digging. Yours sincerely, [name and phone number removed]			<p>The Applicant has engaged with all landowners or those whose land may be affected by the Project as well as the relevant IDBs throughout the consultation, details of this are set out in the Consultation Report.</p> <p>An Outline SMP has been submitted as part of the Application (document reference 8.1.3).</p> <p>The Project has appointed a local land drainage expert to collate existing land drainage plans from landowners, and to input into both pre and post-construction drainage schemes. Initial indications suggest the majority of land drainage is between a depth of 900mm to 1000mm so the cables will be laid under the land drains. It is anticipated that in most instances the land could be re-drained over the top of the cables.</p>	Consultation Report (5.1)
P2_129	Fosdyke	Reasons for going to A16 site opposed to Spalding Marsh. A16 trunk road for site traffic. No road to be built from A17 to Spalding Marsh site. No big problems			The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant's adopted site is at Weston Marsh (A16 site, north of the Welland) and will take	Site Selection (6.1.4)

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
		drilling under River Welland with running silt problems, wigwam holiday site is a well-known site for people to relax and be at one with nature.			<p>advantage of the access off the A16 as shown in Figure 4.19 (document reference 6.2.4.19)</p> <p>The Applicant has consulted with the owners of the Wigwam Crowtree glamping site. The Project team used a 3D computer generated indicative model of the OnSS and proposed landscaping during a Public Information Event at Fosdyke Village Hall to demonstrate to the owners that the proposed location and landscaping of the OnSS would appropriately mitigate any significant impacts on their business.</p>	
P2_130	Fosdyke	As the owner of [], I am concerned as to the impact on my business if this goes ahead. Surely Surfleet Marsh is the better site in relation to the A16 and general access. The drilling of cables under the Welland River and the remoteness of Weston Marsh is a significant disadvantage.			<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The Applicant has undertaken an iterative site selection process as outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection.</p>	Site Selection (6.1.4)
P2_131	Fosdyke	Knowledgeable team. No photos from Crowtree Farm end of the road with proposed substation.	Answer phone calls and emails quicker. Photos from all housing around the proposed areas. We need to actually know the proposed place within the green areas.	Expensive exhibitions for the public!	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details were available.</p>	N/A
P2_132	Fosdyke	Very helpful in explaining the things we needed to know.		Kept us informed and answered questions	<p>The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the Project.</p>	N/A
P2_133	Fosdyke	Well presented.	Have more information available on a point we raised but couldn't be answered	Presentation by friendly and efficient staff.	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zones and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details were available</p>	N/A
P2_134	Fosdyke				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
P2_135	Fosdyke		At this stage, I feel that all questions on disruption and land loss have been covered very well.	A lot of thought and a lot of planning have/has been/gone into this presentation. Most questions	The Applicant has noted this response and feedback on the consultation.	N/A

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
				that can be answered have been at this stage.		
P2_136	Fosdyke				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
P2_137	Fosdyke	1) How close will construction be to the cottages 1-5 - 400 years old. 2) They are Grade II listed - will you guarantee vibration etc. will not affect them. 3) You state you'll go underground if disruptive BUT access traffic will be long term and disruptive. 4) Therefore would you move the 80 metre corridor as far away from our property as possible please [redacted]		Staff friendly and informative. Good visual aids.	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The Applicant has undertaken an iterative site selection process as outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection. The constraints mapping that was undertaken as part of the siting process for onshore infrastructure included proximity to heritage receptors including Grade II listed houses.</p> <p>Potential impacts are assessed in Chapter 20 Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage. The Applicant has submitted an Outline WSI (OWSI) as part of the application. Any archaeological investigations will be carried out in accordance with a WSI approved by Lincolnshire County Council (LCC) in consultation with the relevant planning authority and Historic England in accordance with the OWSI.</p> <p>The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport.</p>	<p>6.1.4 Site Selection</p> <p>6.1.27 Traffic and Transport</p> <p>6.1.20 Archaeology and Cultural Heritage</p> <p>8.09 Outline WSI Onshore</p>
P2_138	Fosdyke	We live in the [redacted]. [Redacted] are 400 years old Grade 2 listed buildings. Therefore obviously the 80m corridor should be as far away as possible from our properties!?		You did ok, answered all the questions... but suspect you knew more than you were letting on!	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The Applicant has undertaken an iterative site selection process as outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection. The constraints mapping that was undertaken as part of the siting process for onshore infrastructure included proximity to heritage receptors including Grade II listed houses.</p>	6.1.4 Site Selection
P2_139	Fosdyke	I live in Grade II listed building and hence will be [redacted] m from my property. Concerned about noise, privacy and vibration from site traffic. So would prefer 80m corridor to be further away from our property. I have concerns about ground water levels from pumping out affecting the foundations of our property as it is 400		Still no decision on route	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The Applicant has undertaken an iterative site selection process as outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection. The constraints mapping that was undertaken as part of the siting process for</p>	<p>6.1.4 Site Selection</p> <p>6.1.27 Traffic and Transport</p> <p>6.1.23 Geology and Ground Conditions</p>

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
		years old, so structural damage may be caused by subsidence. Also traffic increase in area.			<p>onshore infrastructure included proximity to heritage receptors including Grade II listed houses.</p> <p>The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p> <p>Assessments as to Geology and Ground Conditions are set out in Chapter 23.</p>	
P2_140	Fosdyke	Noise disturbance. Traffic volumes. Vibrations when drills are being used. We have very old cottages, will they be affected, subsidence etc... Will our community be able to benefit from the community fund? Our property is 400 years old, Grade II listed. Please move the 80m corridor as far away from the property as possible.			<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The Applicant has undertaken an iterative site selection process as outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection. The constraints mapping that was undertaken as part of the siting process for onshore infrastructure included proximity to heritage receptors including Grade II listed houses.</p> <p>The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport.</p> <p>Assessments as to Geology and Ground Conditions are set out in Chapter 23.</p> <p>The Applicant has continued to develop the community benefit fund proposed to launch post consent.</p>	<p>6.1.4 Site Selection</p> <p>6.1.27 Traffic and Transport</p> <p>6.1.23 Geology and Ground Conditions</p> <p>6.1.27 Noise and Vibration</p> <p>6.1.19 Onshore Air Quality</p>
P2_141	Fosdyke	We live in Grade 2 listed Cottages. 400 years old. Therefore we are concerned about the 80m corridor, please keep this as far away as possible - traffic impact, noise, dust.		Very informative.	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The Applicant has undertaken an iterative site selection process as outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection.</p> <p>The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and</p>	

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
					<p>Transport. Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p> <p>Considerations around Noise are set out in Chapter 26 Noise and Vibration and dust is covered in Chapter 19 Air Quality.</p>	
P2_142	Fosdyke			Information and explanations from staff are very clear.	The Applicant has noted this response and feedback on the consultation process.	N/A
P2_143	Fosdyke				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
P2_144	Fosdyke	I know this project is necessary. How will you cope with groundwater on the route?	Should have waited until National Grid chose substation position		<p>The Applicant has undertaken an iterative site selection process as outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection based on the proposed grid connection points.</p> <p>The Applicant has submitted an Outline SWDS which sets out provisions as to how to manage potential surface water drainage, manage flood risk and protect contaminants entering the surrounding area.</p>	<p>6.1.4 Site Selection</p> <p>8.1.4 Outline SWDS</p>
P2_145	Fosdyke	Laura had good knowledge on the subject. Informed well.			The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_146	Not Listed	[Redacted] I would be worried about heavy vehicle traffic during construction. Our bungalow is old and close to the road.			<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p>	<p>6.1.27 Traffic and Transport</p> <p>8.15 Outline CTMP</p>

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
P2_147	Not Listed	Very clear.			The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_148	Not Listed	My focus was on my location. I have the information to go away at my leisure and go through.	More focus on why.	Information	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_149	Not Listed			Good clear displays. Plenty of members of the team. Paper literature.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_150	Not Listed	Hugh, Garret and David have already been in contact with Witham 4th IDB directly but having recently joined the board I was invited to this consultation event to get a better overview of the project for when we agree protected conditions within the DCO. Also the liaison and partnership in relation to consenting of cable under the IDB watercourse will be key to a smooth project.	Liaison with IDB will continue.		The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant has engaged with the IDBs throughout the consultation phases and through the Evidence Plan Process as outlined in the Consultation Report.	Consultation Report (5.1)
P2_151	Not Listed				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
P2_152	Not Listed	Please ensure the cables are kept as far away from my property as possible. Many Thanks :)			The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback.	N/A
P2_153	Not Listed	Very informative information from Roisin.			This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
P2_154	Old Leake			Seems to be well explained.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_155	Old Leake	Please add port to list of statutory bodies.			The Applicant has noted this response. Ports have been consulted as part of all consultation carried out under section 42 as a statutory consultee.	N/A
P2_156	Old Leake			Helpful staff answering questions.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_157	Old Leake	I raised concerns about the road access, forcefields, badger setts in the areas and possible compensation for dust, noise etc. These were answered satisfactorily.		Answered my questions satisfactorily.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_158	Old Leake		Nothing but it seems like a very slow process, in 10 years' time things could have changed again.		The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation. The Applicant has engaged with the NSIP Reform programme as part of the Early Adopter Programme to facilitate a review of accelerating the consenting of offshore wind.	N/A
P2_159	Old Leake	Good presentation. Just frustrated that we are STILL awaiting National Grid's decision on where it will be connected. Told end of July (but what year) for decision.	Clearer maps showing roads clearer.		The Applicant has noted this response. Following the confirmation of the National Grid connection point the Lincs Node substation location was no longer progressed and this was	N/A

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
					communicated to the local community ahead of the Autumn Consultation.	
P2_160	Old Leake	Very helpful, especially when we found out that you don't intend to disrupt our lives by taking your route through our land. Although Dalcore/Mclaren's communication with us has been at best sketchy and at worst non-existent. We have 2.5 acres of which 2 of those are for my 3 horses. To move them would be a welfare issue.	Dalcore McClaren communication!	Said you're not coming through our land!	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_161	Old Leake	Vibration caused by the vehicles as they could be close to cottage [redacted] . Also lighting and noise caused by the making of the haul road and laying of the cables.		You were helpful and explained extremely well thank you.	The Applicant has noted this response. Considerations around Noise and vibration are set out in Chapter 26 Noise and Vibration. The Applicant has submitted an Outline NVMP which sets out protocols and practices in addition to general and specific mitigation measures.	6.1.26 Noise and Vibration 8.1.1 Outline NVMP
P2_162	Old Leake				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
P2_163	Old Leake	Well organised. Your team member was very helpful answering our questions		Local venues to view the proposals	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_164	Old Leake				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
P2_165	Old Leake	We are happy with the proposals. We have discussed with a member of the team that the red line cuts through the end of our garden. Could this red line be changed to go around the tip of our garden?	Just need to move the 'red' line to go round our property	Excellent explanation. David was a very friendly member of the team who explained everything.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation. The Applicant has committed to not routeing cables through residential properties.	N/A
P2_166	Old Leake		Tell us as soon as possible which route is to be used because of planting crops on land.	Approachable and answered my questions. When doing surveys on my land, you text me to say when you're coming.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_167	Old Leake	Very good and informative presentation. No one wants the disruption necessary for this type of project but the end result is very much needed. It appears the project planners have taken public opinion into consideration and proposed a viable plan.		My 'guide' was very clear and informative. I appreciated his manner.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_168	Old Leake	The proposals are impressive; however, not without an impact to our way of life. We should be grateful for project updates as they arise.		Friendly, informative approach. Thank you.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
P2_169	Old Leake	As mentioned at the first round of consultations, the corridor passes through the parish of Frampton and the corridor crosses Frampton Roads very close to [redacted] and a mill stone installed on the Meridian line that runs through our parish. As your corridor is around 300m wide, I would hope that the 'trench' could be laid away from said beacon/mill stone so as not to cause any concern or disruption. I'm sure the local R.S.P.B reserve will be discussing any issues they may have with you directly. Many Thanks.		Good presentations. Helpful staff to deal with questions/concerns.E66	<p>The Applicant has noted this report.</p> <p>The Applicant has considered scheduled monuments and onshore archaeology in Chapter 20 Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage. The Applicant has noted this comment.</p> <p>In respect of scheduled monuments, only one scheduled monument (Abbey Hills Moated Site) has been identified within the Order Limits. The Applicant has submitted an Outline Onshore Archaeological Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) which informs the implementation of fieldwork strategies and provides for the preservation in situ of remains of national importance.</p> <p>Details on potential impacts and relevant mitigation for onshore ornithology is outlined and addressed in Chapter 22 Onshore Ornithology. The RSPB Reserves at both Frampton Marsh and Freiston Shore have been taken into consideration during the design process to ensure these sites are avoided.</p>	6.1.20 Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage 6.1.22 Onshore Ornithology 8.09 OWSI Onshore
P2_170	Old Leake	Good get going.	Tea with biscuits.	Biscuits.	The Applicant has noted this response and feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_171	Not specified				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
P2_172	Not specified				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
P2_173	Wainfleet	I was worried about the noise as it goes behind our home, but coming to the meeting has put my mind at rest. Many thanks.	Nothing at the moment.	The presentation in the hall today was very good, everybody was very helpful and friendly.	The Applicant has noted this response and feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_174	Wainfleet	[redacted] - could cable go down grass track rather than Grade I listed silt land.			The Applicant has undertaken an iterative site selection process as outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection.	Site Selection (6.1.4) Geology and Ground Conditions (6.1.23)

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
					Assessments as to Geology and Ground Conditions are set out in Chapter 23.	
P2_175	Wainfleet				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
P2_176	Wainfleet		I didn't know what the small red squares on the map meant. They are compounds.	Explained verbally no pylons and ground will be made flat.	The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant is committed to installing the cables underground.	N/A
P2_177	Wainfleet				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
P2_178	Wainfleet	I think there is a lack of foresight from all companies involved in windfarms. Only a few years ago cables were laid - why wasn't extra capacity provided then instead of digging up more countryside! It seems this situation will be repeated by this development. Therefore, I think the extra wait for the nearer substation north of Alford would be best unless extra capacity is provided by this development.		The exhibition was well laid out and informative. There were plenty of staff on hand to deal with questions.	The Applicant has noted this response. Following the confirmation of the grid connection point at Weston Marsh the Applicant did not progress the Lincs Node option.	N/A
P2_179	Wainfleet	We think it is a great thing for the country. Huge project which I hope will be able to go ahead. Great for the environment, helps with net zero.	Nothing, excellent.	Very professional, great information, very well presented.	The Applicant has noted this response and feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_180	Wainfleet	Staff very informative and helpful. Answered all our questions.	Keep going. You're doing a good job!	See above.	The Applicant has noted this response.	N/A
P2_181	Wainfleet	Get on with it and save the world.			The Applicant has noted this response.	N/A
P2_182	Wainfleet	Thanks for answering my questions about the consultation process, communication, and transport.		Listened and highlighted information available online.	The Applicant has noted this response and feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_183	Wainfleet	Looked at clear maps showing my property in the vicinity of the proposed plan. Very concise.			The Applicant has noted this response and feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_184	Wainfleet	Suggest using community Facebook sites such as Friskney Community Facebook site. The contact is [name removed] the local East Lindsey District Councillor.		Very well pitched talk at correct level.	The Applicant has carried out consultation in accordance with the SoCC including the use of Social Media which is outlined in the Consultation Report.	5.1 Consultation Report

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
P2_185	Wainfleet	For myself, either route will not significantly affect me during construction. Always informative and transparent in the information given.	Nothing - all looks good.	Plenty of information and targeted for me when speaking to you.	The Applicant has noted this response and feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_186	Wainfleet	I appreciate that I am able to take a USB stick home for my husband to see what is happening as he is very interested in green power (he used to work in electronic engineering for Western Power) but he wasn't able to come to the consultation.	More information about the actual companies involved, i.e. selling energy to the National Grid as they are private companies, agreeing a 'strike price'.	Been available for any specific questions.	The Applicant has noted this response and feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_187	Wainfleet	Very informative, very helpful staff. Answered all our questions and very happy with outcome.	Nothing to add. All very professional.	Explained all the boards and answered our questions.	The Applicant has noted this response and feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_188	Wainfleet				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
P2_189	Wainfleet	For us personally, we have discovered it doesn't go near our camp site.	Not sure to be fair.	I think you have informed people well.	The Applicant has noted this response and feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_190	Wainfleet			Nice to have handouts/memory stick. Well informed staff to talk to.	The Applicant has noted this response and feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_191	Wainfleet	If the payback time on CO2 is actually ~6 months on each turbine, this seems a very useful project. Now it's just the problem of what to do for power on still, or EXTREMELY windy, days! Good luck to you!		Phil is very well informed and answered all my questions.	The Applicant has noted this response and feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_192	Wainfleet	Appreciate the additional detail, however we wait with bated breath for the decision!!	We have had multiple appointments for surveys - most have not been attended...	Hosting events are good.	The Applicant has noted this response and feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_193	Wainfleet	Whether the route comes this way is yet to be determined, but the information, the screens, the detail; the information and knowledge from staff has answered all my questions. I've found the presentation outstanding, so credit to you all. Continue with the good work.	Nothing that I can find.	Everything.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation	N/A
P2_194	Wainfleet	[Redacted]			The Applicant has noted this response. The feedback contained therein is specific and identifying and therefore has been addressed privately between the individual and the Applicant.	N/A
P2_195	Wainfleet	Must consider land drainage - crop? Compensation RE: lack of crops?	Efficient and very helpful.	Courteous at all times.	The Applicant has engaged with landowners throughout the pre-application phase including in relation to crop compensation. The Applicant has appointed a local drainage contractor and included an Outline Surface Water and Drainage Strategy as part of the	8.1.5 Outline SWDS

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
					Outline Code of Construction Practice submitted as part of its DCO application.	
P2_196	Wainfleet				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
P2_197	Wainfleet	Process and communication good. Proposals at this stage also good.			The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation	N/A
P2_198	Wainfleet	Lincolnshire Node. There needs to be more visualisation photos. E.g. from Thurlby Road Bilsby. This view affects many residents in Bilsby and is a main thoroughfare to Skegness. There also needs to be a photo from another point in Alford Road towards Markby. It needs to be made clear that planting is not to be done in all cases as it is outside your area but it will still be visible. Where are the turbine towers and cables going to be sourced? The substation appears to be built on 10m high ground, is there a lower section of land available? There are many Grade II listed buildings in this area, this building is not in keeping with them.	Contact Bilsby and Farlesthorne P.C. more.	Answered the questions well.	The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant undertook an iterative site selection process which is outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection. Following the confirmation of the grid connection point at Weston Marsh the Applicant did not progress the Lincs Node option.	Site Selection (6.1.4)
P2_199	Wainfleet		You must consider:- Traffic interruption on A50. Aluvium soil on eastern route. US Air Force bombing site - left ordnance.	Series of more detailed maps. Knowledgeable consulting staff.	The Applicant has noted this response. The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road. The CoCP also includes an Outline SMP which addresses the presence of alluvial clays.	6.1.27 Traffic and Transport 8.15 Outline CTMP 8.1.3 Outline SMP
P2_200	Wainfleet				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
P2_201	Wainfleet		Better communication with land agents.	PR	The Applicant has noted this response and feedback on the consultation methods.	N/A
P2_202	Wainfleet				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
P2_203	Wainfleet	An exciting project. I am very keen to learn more about the impact on and opportunities for local business. As chair of a local business group and an accountant for many local clients, the economic impact locally is what interests me most.	Use additional ways to get the message out. I only heard about the project last week. From speaking with others locally, they had not heard about it either.	Information that is available is user friendly and easy to understand.	The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant has carried out all phases of consultation in accordance with the SoCC as agreed with local authorities.	N/A
P2_204	Wainfleet	I live at the address stated. Please design your 80m cable route away from the property at least 200m. Disturbance will include wildlife (birds, kites/buzzards, mammals, voles, bats), agriculture (old hedgerows, sewer drainage surrounding property + update) causing stress and anxiety to myself. Send copies of plans relevant to me (please contact me on ALL actions via letter).			The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant undertook an iterative site selection process which is outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection. Assessments on the potential impacts of the Project on onshore ecology and ornithology are set out in the Environmental Statement. The Applicant has undertaken a range of ecological surveys and mitigation outlined in the OLEMS which includes provisions and protocols to minimise disturbance on the local ecology. The Applicant has committed to reinstating any hedgerows that are disrupted during construction using a species-rich locally appropriate native mixture.	6.1.4 Site Selection and Consideration of Alternatives 6.1.21 Onshore Ecology 6.1.22 Onshore Ornithology 8.10 OLEMS
P2_205	Wainfleet	Prefer Ridge 1A if you must.			The Applicant has noted this response.	N/A
P2_206	Not described				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
P2_207	Not described				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
P2_208	Anderby	DM plans 1+2 to post. Would like to see a larger picture of how proposals will affect Mumby. A3 map.		Provided very informative pleasant advisors.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_209	Anderby	As tree warden for the parish I am very concerned about the use of trees as 'screening' for this project. 19m is very high and it will take years for a substantial growth - enough to provide a good screen. I would suggest a good mixture of trees (including some fast-growing conifers as well as deciduous, slower growing varieties). However, I take on board that it is the National Grid who will decide on the main issues i.e. how the power would be transported, and I am very concerned about massive pylons striding across our beautiful mainly flat			The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant has committed to burying the cables underground. Details on proposed mitigation for the substation including planting is set out in the OLEMS. The final planting mix will be detailed in the LEMS and will comprise mostly deciduous species but with a mix of evergreen	Site Selection (6.1.4) OLEMS (8.10)

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
		countryside. I feel it is a great pity the cables are not going direct from the wind farm to the Wash.			where appropriate to give the shelter belts all-year-round structure.	
P2_210	Anderby				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
P2_212	Anderby	Very interesting.			The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_213	Anderby	Live in Thurlby. Very concerned about the noise from the substation.			The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant undertook an iterative site selection process which is outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection. Following the confirmation of the grid connection point at Weston Marsh the Applicant did not progress the Lincs Node option.	Site Selection (6.1.4)
P2_214	Anderby	Not sure! Use existing infrastructure (Spalding). Leave green fields to the cows!		Answered my questions for now. Thank you.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_215	Anderby	No concerns personally as we are on the edge of the black line area. We just want to keep updated.	Nothing at this stage.	Good communication.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_216	Anderby	The proposals you are making together with the excellent information, no one can complain. You have covered all aspects and making sure all are well and truly kept up to date. I would strongly encourage the site near Asserby for this would bring income and jobs to an area that needs it.	Nothing, just keep up the professional, friendly way you are already doing this.	Everything - excellent staff in all departments making sure residents are not at all disrupted in their normal lives.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_217	Anderby		More information regarding connection to National Grid from Asserby.	Supplying information and being honest about proposals.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_218	Anderby	Very interesting.		Advertising, communicating, maps.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_219	Anderby	Junc. Huttoft Road/B1449. Additional viewpoint request.			The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_220	Anderby	Very informative and approachable.		Lots of information and pictures. Easy to understand.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_221	Anderby	Should the Lincolnshire node be chosen, Bilsby P.C. is VERY concerned about pylons linking the National Grid to the substation. You need a Google Earth photo showing trees/landscape with the building dropped on it. Should this Lincolnshire node be chosen (heaven forbid) you need to work CLOSELY with the locals of BILSBY.			The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant has committed to burying the cables underground. The Applicant undertook an iterative site selection process which is outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection. Following the confirmation of the grid connection point at Weston Marsh the Applicant did not progress the Lincs Node option.	Site Selection (6.1.4)

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
P2_222	Anderby	All staff well informed and, when unsure, sought advice from colleagues. Acoustics of the building unfortunate making hearing somewhat difficult, but only at busy times.	Possibly liaise with local press as sometimes stories are inaccurate e.g. pylons being a given.	Professional display and helpful staff.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_223	Anderby		Have done very well at explaining.	Explained very well.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_224	Anderby				The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_225	Anderby			Well explained and concerns relating to environment and disturbance have been explained and we feel reassured.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_226	Anderby	Whilst the team and exhibition were useful and we gained more information on the proposals, there are still unanswered questions. I appreciate this is a consultation, but has thought been given to:- the amount of noise pollution the proposed substations will incur? Any impact on internet connectivity, phone and TV signals?	Very noisy, hard to hear what the team were saying. Consider a Q&A type meeting where public all hear the same thing from the team and interact at one meeting.	Knowledge on the proposals by the team were clear to see. Good that public are being consulted.	The Applicant has noted this response. Potential noise impacts are outlined in Chapter 26 Noise and Vibration. The Outline CoCP also includes an Outline NVMP which contains protocols and principles to be adhered to during construction to mitigate noise impacts.	8.1.1 NVMP
P2_227	Anderby	As you can guess, I'm not pleased with the application. But infrastructure is necessary. You have chosen green as a colour which is a great idea. If Bilsby is the chosen site I would request that you plant mature planting to speed up the screening process. Also offer free trees to the public to plant on their own land to help with screening.		Very clear explanations, answered all questions.	The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant undertook an iterative site selection process which is outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection. Following the confirmation of the grid connection point at Weston Marsh the Applicant did not progress the Lincs Node option.	6.1.4 Site Selection
P2_228	Anderby	Noise! Boat noise keep away from shore when moored at night. Ring fence community fund to Anderby and Anderby Creek. Benefit list: Solar panels on all houses and business, footpath. Resurface all road. Street lights. Community classes.	Invite local people, not just parish council staff. Hording around landfall, natural.	Pleasant personalities. Panels good, background shocking.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_229	Anderby				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself	N/A
P2_230	Anderby	Parish council not relaying. Can we get a representative from Anderby/Anderby Creek invited to meetings to liaise. [phone number removed]			The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_231	Anderby	My greatest concern with the Lincolnshire node siting of the substation is the distribution of power from the substation to the National Grid. The proximity of the Lincolnshire Wolds (an AoNB) means that pylons would have a very detrimental effect on a very important part of Lincolnshire.		Well explained, friendly approach.	The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant undertook an iterative site selection process which is outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection. Following the confirmation of the grid connection point at Weston Marsh the	Si6.1.4 Site Selection

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
					Applicant did not progress the Lincs Node option.	
P2_232	Anderby				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself	N/A
P2_233	Anderby	How much more traffic will there be through Thurlby during construction. Viewpoint from between Bilsby and Thurlby B1449.			The Applicant has noted this response. The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.	6.1.27 Traffic and Transport 8.15 Outline CTMP
P2_234	Anderby	We live at Bilsby (small village). The traffic for the coast, agricultural traffic is constant and increases yearly. The haulage lorries for the previous offshore wind programme were through our village on minor roads and the roads have suffered. We have lived in Bilsby 40 years and many householders purchasing property in 2019 onwards have sold up because of the heavy haulage and the risk to property foundations. Traffic data for this area must be considered at great length. Lincolnshire has an elderly population who may not use the internet so contact details via telephone would be very helpful. Please continue to update us and on any further meetings. Thank you.	Nothing. Leaflet drop for non-website users? No contact nos for non computer users.	Consultations, contact, website information.	The Applicant has noted this response. The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. . Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.	6.1.27 Traffic and Transport 8.15 Outline CTMP
P2_235	Anderby	We needed clarification on exactly where the cable route would be in relation to our house. The team were very attentive and provided the information we needed.			The Applicant has noted these comments. Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zone and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.	N/A
P2_236	Anderby	Everyone was very informative. All questions were answered very clearly.		All information was clearly told to us	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_237	Anderby	1) Need to know more about traffic management and disruption to local access. 2) Any issues around flooding. 3) Suggest you improve resurface the coast road after or during the project.	Did not get any info prior to the parish council putting it on Facebook and I don't use Facebook! So it was word of mouth from a neighbour.	Explanations so far are good and documentary info is understandable.	The Applicant has noted this response. The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant	6.1.27 Traffic and Transport 8.15 Outline CTMP 8.1 Outline CoCP 6.1.24 Hydrology and Flood Risk

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
					<p>has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p> <p>In respect of flood risk, potential impacts of the Project have been assessed in Chapter 24 Hydrology and Flood Risk. The Applicant has submitted an Outline CoCP which sets out the Applicant's approach to flood management. A flood management and response plan will be produced as part of the final CoCP.</p>	
P2_238	Anderby				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself	N/A
P2_239	Anderby				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself	N/A
P2_240	Butterwick	Landowner - Farm at [redacted]. Concerned about access issues as the project bisects our land from north to south. Drainage issues also critical.			<p>The Applicant has engaged with landowners throughout the pre-application phase.</p> <p>The Applicant has appointed a local drainage contractor and included an Outline Surface Water and Drainage Strategy as part of the Outline Code of Construction Practice submitted as part of its DCO application.</p>	8.1 Outline CoCP 8.5 Outline SWDS
P2_241	Butterwick				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself	N/A
P2_242	Butterwick				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself	N/A
P2_243	Butterwick	My property is [redacted]. The pipeline is directly behind my property. My property is on your northern border red line and is being used as that border. [Redacted due to identifiable information]			The Applicant has noted these comments. Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zone and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.	N/A
P2_244	Butterwick	EMF' regarding cable close to our house - deemed to be within acceptable levels but would like more info on	All ok, very pleased with today's visit.	Issues explained very well by your staff.	The Applicant has noted this response.	Scoping Report (5.1.2)

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
		EMF emissions (radiation) as we may be walking by a cable and not wish to be exposed to EMF unless we know what the levels are.			EMF impacts have been scoped out of the assessment undertaken as no impacts are expected.	
P2_245	Butterwick	One concern was how close to Butterwick and our property you would be digging and what sort of disruption there would be with construction traffic or road closures. When would we be informed what route, you will be taking and when?			<p>The Applicant has noted these comments. Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zone and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.</p> <p>The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p>	6.1.27 Traffic and Transport 8.15 Outline CTMP
P2_246	Butterwick				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself	N/A
P2_247	Butterwick	Informative and questions answered well.	None.	Presentation clear.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_248	Butterwick	Very helpful to be able to meet with project team and obtain more information as to the proposed route, potential disruption during construction and overall impacts of scheme. It has allayed a lot of our concerns. Glad you held a public consultation event.			The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_249	Butterwick	Good idea.		Explained what we needed to know.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_250	Butterwick	It would be preferable if the scheme did NOT proceed! The choice of route is critical to any comments we need to make. The impact of construction noise and the impact on local traffic is crucially important. What will the construction working hours be? I will need to sell and move house in the next two years. The project is likely to impact saleability. Is compensation for this available? Also legal fees are likely to be increased during the sale. Will this be remunerated? [Redacted] I need increased PERSONAL consultation on the project (I cannot drive).	We need more certainty over route selection and construction start/completion. How much leeway will the contractor have RE: liaison etc. when he is on-site? Where will construction compounds and access be sited?		<p>The Applicant has noted these comments. Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located in the identified consultation zone and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.</p> <p>The Project would make a meaningful contribution to producing affordable energy and achieving national energy security with reduced reliance on fossil fuels and exposure to volatile global wholesale energy prices. Further details as to the need for the Project</p>	N/A

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
					and how the Project accords with Government ambitions for net zero and renewable energy targets is set out in Chapter 2 Need Policy and Legislative Context.	
P2_251	Butterwick				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself	N/A
P2_252	Butterwick			Full support from advisors RE: plans.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_253	Butterwick	Very useful and answered any questions we had.		Keeping us informed.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_254	Butterwick	Not against it but feel it could be done better i.e. building the substation, then the cable laying, then the turbines would mean connecting up the system as soon as turbines are in situ - doing it as the last lot to BICKER. The turbines have been up for YEARS, the cable is not yet finished being laid and still the substation is not built. By the time it is, the turbines will already be very old and would/may need replacing. How is this cost effective!!!		Liaising with everyone has been good.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_255	Butterwick	Very clear presentations, Jen was very informative and answered all my questions.	Maybe look at the letters sent to residents to make clear no properties will be compulsory purchased.	Great, clear presentations.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_256	Butterwick				This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself	N/A
P2_257	Butterwick	Construction noise. Access of my property. Radiation levels? Am I entitled to any compensation? Building vibration. It is too close to my property so I am worried!! Am I entitled to a land agent? [redacted]	Connect us to the pipeline	This consultation.	<p>The Applicant has engaged with all landowners or those whose land may be affected by the Project throughout the consultation, details of this are set out in the Consultation Report.</p> <p>The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p> <p>Considerations around Noise and vibration are set out in Chapter 26 Noise and Vibration. In</p>	<p>6.1.26 Noise and Vibration</p> <p>6.1.27 Traffic and Transport</p> <p>8.1 Outline CoCP</p> <p>8.1.1 Outline NVMP</p>

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
					respect of working hours and noise and vibration impacts the Applicant has submitted an Outline Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) including an Outline Noise and Vibration Management Plan (NVMP) which contains protocols and principles to be adhered to during construction to mitigate impacts. The draft Development Consent Order (DCO) also sets out requirements as to the construction hours.	
P2_258	Butterwick	Very informative and helpful staff.			The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_259	Butterwick	Good.	Food.	Explaining.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_260	Butterwick	Pleased you are doing local consultations to find appropriate routes.	For us it was fine.	Explained things well.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_261	Butterwick	Spoken to and listened by a very helpful person who has informed us and answered our questions.	Just right.	Several very informative people.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_262	Butterwick			Answer my questions.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
P2_263	Butterwick	Try and avoid the best double cropping brassicas land which is the most eastern route. Also running silts make trench depths difficult with high water levels (sea and rainfall). Best land is the seaside of A52 to 'Roman' bank. Nearer the sea the land is heavier and less veg.	Can we have the results of all your surveys done on the farm.	Always been polite.	The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant's adopted route is the most westerly route (landward side/north of the A52) as shown in Figure 4.26 and discussed in Chapter 4 Site Selection which provides details with respect to running silts.	6.2.4.26 Figure 4.26
P2_264	Butterwick	Team very helpful and explained things well. Still have a couple of questions:- We live on a single track dead end road. When cables are crossing under our road, we will still need to use our road to get in and out. Presumably it will not shut at this point. We know you will be putting in a 'haul road' - how much noise/pollution/disruption will this cause. Security of site equipment - have seen machinery left in vicinity of works on other projects in area.	More 'personalised' info - not just generalised.	Phase 2 consultation event at Butterwick better than the first one. More informative and staff friendlier.	The Applicant has noted this response. The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road. Considerations around Noise and vibration are set out in Chapter 26 Noise and Vibration. In respect of working hours and noise and	6.1.26 Noise and Vibration 6.1.27 Traffic and Transport 8.1 Outline CoCP 8.1.1 Outline NVMP

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
					vibration impacts the Applicant has submitted an Outline Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) including an Outline Noise and Vibration Management Plan (NVMP) which contains protocols and principles to be adhered to during construction to mitigate impacts.	
P2_265	Butterwick	Needed by UK for energy security.			The Applicant has noted this response. The Project would make a meaningful contribution to producing affordable energy and achieving national energy security with reduced reliance on fossil fuels and exposure to volatile global wholesale energy prices. Further details as to the need for the Project and how the Project accords with Government ambitions for net zero and renewable energy targets is set out in Chapter 2 Need Policy and Legislative Context.	N/A

5 Applicant Responses to Autumn Consultation Section 47 Consultation Responses

Table 5.1 Email and Freephone Responses received during the Autumn Consultation

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
AC_34	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Please can you send me information by email, if at all possible, on the offshore wind project cutting through Friskney. I would far prefer this way as it saves you postage and the destruction of rain forests printing brochures and the use of envelopes which all cost the project more expense and damage the already fragile environment. Thanking you</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	The Applicant has noted this comment and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
AC_35	Member of the Public	Email	<p>I note on your website documentation that you are only considering the cable route that will be North of the A52 as opposed to the route South of the A52 (nearer the coast). However, in your documentation you give no reason for this decision. Can you please provide some detail on what supports your decision on the chosen route and why? My reason for asking is that the route nearer the coast, in my opinion, appears to be far less disruptive (almost no population disturbance), much fewer obstacles (such as roads, houses, railway lines etc), less risk to the schedule and less risk to the budget. I have spent the vast majority of my career directly involved in the Project Control of Energy related projects, so I do have an interest in the processes being followed.</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The Applicant undertook an iterative site selection process which is outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection. Constraints mapping that included proximity to residential receptors was undertaken when identifying both route options; while there are more residential receptors near to the alternative route option, it was concluded that the engineering challenges and subsequent environmental considerations (including impacts on the local communities and environment) would be significantly reduced by adopting the alternative route option.</p>	6.1.4 Site Selection
AC_36	Member of the Public	Email	<p>Placing the substations at Surfleet Marsh and West Marsh will irrevocably destroy several 100 acres of the most product farmland in the UK, even the world. The cable route from Skegness into the fens will do likewise. Viking link did the same. Natural England's recommendations for major infrastructure projects are that they are carried out on Grade 3 land wherever possible.</p> <p>I know this will all be overridden as the need for national infrastructure will outweigh any requirement of the fens.</p> <p>But be it noted that the project will benefit no one locally while causing detriment to the landscape and farmland forever. The only beneficiaries will be the Asset Managers that eventually own it, who sell it on to other Asset Managers and so on and so forth in their elaborate Ponzi schemes.</p> <p>Yours sincerely</p> <p>[redacted]</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The Applicant undertook an iterative site selection process which is outlined in Chapter 4 Site Selection. The Applicant's adopted site is Surfleet Marsh (north of the Welland) as shown in Figure 4.19. Following the preliminary outcomes of the OTNR the Applicant was provided with two possible connection options. National Grid later confirmed that the Project's connection option would be Weston Marsh.</p> <p>The productivity of the farmland has been considered, it is noted that all land within a c.6km radius of connection point is classified as Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) Grade 1, the highest and most valuable grading, as identified in Chapter 25 Land Use and presented in Figure 25.2. As such all land within the search area was ALC Grade 1 and therefore could not be avoided when identifying potential OnSS locations at Weston Marsh.</p>	6.1.4 Site Selection 6.2.4.19 Figure 4.19

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
				Constraints mapping that included proximity to Land Use (and ALC) was undertaken when identifying route options and the selected alternative route option impacted less Grade 1 land than the original route option.	
AC_37	Member of the Public	Email	<p>The event was very informative and all my questions were answered along with some really in depth discussions.</p> <p>Please thank everyone for the time and effort they have put in to inform the local community about this project.</p> <p>I am looking forward to hearing how this is progressing in the future.</p>	The Applicant has noted this comment and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
AC_38	Member of the Public	Email	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Looking at the map for Friskney, it shows the local transport route to your compound along Hogwortham road. This road is in a terrible state and at present is unsuitable for HGV's. Will you upgrade it to accommodate heavy construction traffic? Where the cable route crosses Skirmore road, will there be access from Skirmore road to access the cable route above ground. Will there be a speed limit along this section, of 40mph like the Viking corridor did? What will be the hours of working at the compound and will it be highly illuminated and what do you expect the noise levels to be. 	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p> <p>Considerations around Noise and vibration are set out in Chapter 26 Noise and Vibration. In respect of working hours and noise and vibration impacts the Applicant has submitted an Outline Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) including an Outline Noise and Vibration Management Plan (NVMP) which contains protocols and principles to be adhered to during construction to mitigate impacts. The draft Development Consent Order (DCO) also sets out requirements as to the construction hours</p>	<p>6.1.26 Noise and Vibration</p> <p>6.1.27 Traffic and Transport</p> <p>8.1 Outline CoCP</p> <p>8.1.1 Outline NVMP</p>
AC_39	Member of the Public	Email	We are writing in response to your recent consultation letter [redacted]. The map you enclosed with the documentation seems to imply by the red project lines, an assumed use of [redacted] as an access way for your project. This is the first indication any of us locally have seen in this regard, there having been no previous contact asking permission to use this private road or consultation about the type and volumes of vehicles this might entail. We therefore write to seek clarification of this assumption and to put on record that, for the following reasons, we would strongly object to the	<p>The Applicant has engaged with all landowners or those whose land may be affected by the Project throughout the consultation, details of this are set out in the Consultation Report.</p> <p>The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport.</p>	<p>6.1.21 Onshore Ecology</p> <p>6.1.27 Traffic and Transport</p> <p>8.1 Outline CoCP</p> <p>8.15 CTMP</p> <p>8.10 OLEMS</p>

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
			<p>use of this track or any changes to the track and its immediate surroundings and its prolonged use by large volumes of heavy plant and support vehicles on seven key grounds:</p> <p>1 - PRIVATE ACCESS - The access track to [redacted] is also the only vehicular and pedestrian access to our property. We have a vehicular and pedestrian right of way over the track as far as the end of our property and, for us, it represents not only the daily use to access and egress from our private parking spaces adjacent to the track and the pedestrian access to our home, but access for trades vehicles and visitors to our home alike. Due to the narrowness of the surrounding lanes, there are no alternative places where we or any visiting vehicles can safely park.</p> <p>2 - ROAD AND PUBLIC SAFETY - It is a narrow track with a very tight turn in (and out) if approached from [redacted] Even with the relatively “light” tractors and trailers which use the track for harvesting, the very tight turn at the end has [redacted] Traffic coming along Low Mill Lane approaches the track on a blind bend at the bottom of a hill, so any emerging vehicles will only be seen at the last moment and vice-verse. Any attempt to turn right onto Clough Lane when exiting the track is almost impossible in a large vehicle due to the proximity of the edge of the bridge over Kirton Main Drain. Again, “light” farm traffic attempting this manoeuvre has damaged the bridge on a number of occasions. There is also a high risk of damage to water services protected by a raised cast iron inspection cover on the drain-side corner opposite the track entrance. This is very close to the road's edge and would be vulnerable to any plant and large vehicles approaching from Seadyke Road. In addition, immediately adjacent to the track is the start of the public footpath which is also accessed by walkers via the track at the junction of Clough Lane and Seadyke Road.</p> <p>3 - STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY OF SEADYKE COTTAGE AND SERVICES - Our home, [redacted], was built in the early 19th century adjacent to and partly saddling the Roman Bank inner sea defence. We are very concerned that heavy use of the track for a prolonged period by large plant and service vehicles traversing the bank over the track, will result in cracking and structural damage to our property from the vibration and shaking plant and heavy vehicles will cause. In addition, services for our house and [redacted] , and run underneath this track and also risk damage by prolonged use by high volumes of heavy vehicles. We would also point out that we own and use the parking area for two vehicles immediately adjacent to the track to the rear of [redacted], large plant and service vehicles will risk damaging our parked cars.</p> <p>4 - SEA DEFENCE - The track to our property and the farm is “unmade” for two very good reasons. Firstly, it runs up and over the Roman Bank Inner Sea Defence which the Environment Agency will not permit to be concreted or laid to tarmac as this would prevent the necessary drainage and seepage required to maintain the integrity of the flood defence and cause water run-off which would also impact on the sea defence and the levels and water quality in the adjacent main drain. The land immediately to the south of the track, up to and adjoining the track is our property and constitutes the Roman Bank flood defence. The land immediately to the north of, up to and including the track is owned by the proprietor of [redacted] and, again includes the continuation of the Roman Bank flood defence. Use by a large volume of heavy plant and service vehicles over a prolonged period will significantly degrade not only the track but this part of the Roman Bank flood defence. We notice that with other similar projects locally (i.e. Bicker) access roads have been widened and concrete driveways laid. This would be out of the question in this instance as the Roman Bank</p>	<p>Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p> <p>Assessments as to the possible impacts of the Project on ecology are set out in Chapter 21 Onshore Ecology. Ecological surveys have been conducted and the assessments are outlined in Chapter 21 Onshore Ecology and 22 Onshore Ornithology. Provisions The OLEMS sets out provisions to mitigate against any impacts on the local ornithology.</p>	

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
			<p>flood defence absolutely defines the width, rise and fall and required surface of the track in addition to the unacceptable changes to water drainage and run off that any “surfacing” would lead to.</p> <p>5 - RISK OF COLLAPSE OF BANK ON THE SOUTH SIDE - Given that the track traverses and follows the course of the Roman Bank Flood Defence for some 100 meters, any heavy vehicles (too wide for the stone track) encroaching on the grass verge on the south side will inevitable cause the Roman Bank edge to collapse taking our post and rail fence with it down into our meadow. Note this fence does not mark the boundary of our property, we have left a grass verge between the track edge and the fence to allow a safety margin for “overhang” of farm trailers etc, but we stress that our property ownership extends to the track edge. Beyond the track edge the bank is mud with a fall of some four feet down into our meadow at its highest some six feet below. Again, we would strongly object to any thought you may have of surfacing the track as this would also cause water run-off into our meadow with all of the attendant flooding that would bring on our heavy clay soil and encourage vehicles to assume that the full width from bank-edge to our fence may be driven on which would certainly result in collapse.</p> <p>6 - ENVIRONMENTAL/ECOLOGICAL - If you consult the DEFRA data illustrated by the DEFRA Magic Maps, you will find that the Roman Bank is a "designated priority habitat" supporting two BAP (Biodiversity Action Plan) species of endangered butterflies - the Wall Brown and the Small Heath, both of which require the unique “corridor of opportunity” which the Roman Bank affords for their survival on this now very rare stretch of unimproved ancient grass land. Any changes or damage to the Roman Bank will affect these species. The Roman Bank and its immediate vicinity is also a designated assembly area for Yellow Wagtail, Redshank, Lapwing and Turtle Dove - all endangered species, as well as being a winter feeding and breeding area for Curlew, one of Europe's highest conservation priority species. Your project will clearly impact all of these and many other species. Even if they survive and recover the lengthy period of your build, the subsequent light pollution from your proposed connection plant and substation will undoubtedly affect moth and bird breeding habits in this currently “dark skies” district adjacent to a SSSI wetland of world importance. Finally, you should be aware that Kirton Marsh is part of a designated "National Heritage Landscape” as confirmed out by DEFRA.</p> <p>7 - NOISE NUISANCE AND INCONVENIENCE - Based on observations of the comings and goings of plant and heavy vehicles on other similar projects, we would strongly object to the use of this track for general access to the site on the grounds of the noise nuisance we will suffer daily for a prolonged period, the dust in summer and mud in winter, risk of damage to our property and parked cars and the general inconvenience and disruption that heavy use of the track will inevitably cause to our lives.</p> <p>Given all of the above, we urge you to find an alternative access point and not use this[redacted] track for plant and service access and, from an environmental perspective, respect the SSSI and its vital "supporting inland habitats" (i.e. Kirton Marsh) which includes its dark skies.</p> <p>If any of your surveyors or engineers would like to discuss these points further please do contact us, in the mean time we look forward to your response with assurances for the integrity of our track, our home, the Roman Bank sea defence and wider</p>		

Comment ID	Respondent	Platform	Comment	Project Regard	Environmental Statement (ES) Chapter if Relevant
			environment and its species. Thank you for your kind attention.		
AC_40	Member of the Public	Email	Whilst I think connection to an area near Boston is a huge mistake, have you considered laying the cable on the seabed to the connection location? 1. The cost would be very much lower 2. There would be no upset from the residents, who have had no say in this matter. 3. Perhaps most obviously, the Outer Dowsing area is in the sea!	The Applicant has noted this response. The connection options for the Project were provided by NGESCO following the outcomes of the OTNR process and ultimately the grid connection offer from National Grid for the Project to connect in to Weston Marsh. The designation of the Wash SPA and its SSSI status meant the Applicant was unable to take forward for consideration the option of siting the cable corridor under the Wash due to the likely adverse effects on integrity on the SPA.	6.1.4 Site Selection
AC_41	Member of the Public	Email	I very much appreciated the time you spent providing an overview of the project this morning, its proximity with my property, and the information you shared. May I ask that nearer time as the schedule is baselined, the project team advise when work is planned to start at my location so that I can make any necessary arrangements with horses/ livestock etc, depending on project site and traffic specifics at the time.	The Applicant has noted this comment and feedback on the consultation and the proposals.	N/A
AC_42	Member of the Public	Freepost response	1. Good traffic management with the minimum disruption to the working and general public will be key to how the scheme is received in the construction phase. The project cuts through some very busy and narrow roads. 2. A charitable scheme involving the Butterwick Primary School would probably be a "nice fit" for all concerned. It would get the young "onboard" with renewable energy, go down well politically with the local community and the scheme actually cuts through very close to the school.	The Applicant has noted this comment. The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road. The Applicant is developing a community benefit fund to be launched post consent, the criteria and themes for which have been consulted on at the January 2024 CLGs as outlined in the Consultation Report.	6.1.27 Traffic and Transport 8.15 CTMP

5.1 Feedback Form Responses received during Autumn Consultation and the Applicant’s Regard

Table 5.2 Feedback Form Responses received during Autumn Consultation and the Applicant’s Regard

Where no comment has been provided on the form the relevant box is shaded.

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	Do you feel planting proposals adequately mitigate the visual impact?	Please share any other feedback about our substation proposals	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
AC_43	Hogsthorpe	Impressed by the exhibition and by the representatives who willingly shared information	N/A	N/A	Moved the substation	Find out more about the security of the turbines and cables - threat from outside sources. We are concerned about the traffic/materials coming through Alford and Bilsby (bend near Alford Church) and the narrow lanes near the coast.	The Applicant has noted this comment. The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.	6.1.27 Traffic and Transport 8.15 CTMP
AC_44	Hogsthorpe	N/A	Yes	Looks OK	Everything was very informative. Clear information. Future photos of project very useful.	Can't think of anything	The Applicant has noted this comment and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
AC_45	Hogsthorpe	N/A	Partially	N/A	N/A	Need to know potential impact on ourselves with the proposed plan coming near to our property	The Applicant has noted these comments. Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the	N/A

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	Do you feel planting proposals adequately mitigate the visual impact?	Please share any other feedback about our substation proposals	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
							households located in the identified consultation zone and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.	
AC_46	Hogsthorpe	Marsh Lane (Skegness Raceway) - please be aware this is the Butlins route and their changeover days are Mondays and Fridays and this tends to be very busy. Just sharing local knowledge	Yes				The Applicant has noted this comment. The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.	6.1.27 Traffic and Transport 8.15 CTMP
AC_47	Hogsthorpe	N/A	Partially	Concern for deciduous tree usage but obviously fir trees cannot be used	Chris was very helpful (as he has been at every meeting). Questions always answered. Thank you.		The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
AC_48	Hogsthorpe	I would like borehole data for the beach and substrata if possible. Especially interested in the depth of sand	Yes		Good knowledgeable individuals to discuss		The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	Do you feel planting proposals adequately mitigate the visual impact?	Please share any other feedback about our substation proposals	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
					any concerns at location.			
AC_49	Hogsthorpe	N/A	Yes		OK		The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
AC_50	Hogsthorpe	We think it is an excellent scheme. Renewable energy is the way forward	Yes	Good idea to hide any buildings with trees.	The staff were very helpful and it was very interesting and informative	Have it up and running ASAP.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
AC_51	Hogsthorpe	A massive electricity generating project which will benefit future generations.	Don't know		Consultation done effectively		The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
AC_52	Hogsthorpe	Unregistered track - enabling works access route					The Applicant has noted this comment. The Applicant has undertaken searches to ascertain the ownership of land affected throughout the route as outlined in the Consultation Report. Where land ownership cannot be ascertained site notices have been erected.	N/A
AC_53	Wainfleet	Excellent explanations for all of my questions. Openness and transparency is greatly appreciated. Very knowledgeable and informative.	Yes		A lot of diagrams and maps which is easy for us to visualise the project.	All good	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
AC_54	Wainfleet		Yes	Trees will need ongoing maintenance owing to wind and water - too much or not enough.	1 to 1 helped enormously		The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
AC_55	Wainfleet	Didn't understand the route change - I do now.	Yes		Consulted		The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
AC_56	Wainfleet		Yes		We have a pretty good idea now of the project	Sorry - can't think of anything!	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	Do you feel planting proposals adequately mitigate the visual impact?	Please share any other feedback about our substation proposals	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
AC_57	Wainfleet	Yes, very helpful and knowledgeable	Yes		Maps of area - details	Think you have it right	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
AC_58	Wainfleet	Very knowledgeable - thank you. Well explained and interesting.	Yes		Planned, presented, consulted.		The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
AC_59	Butterwick		Partially	Access over Fen Bank Drain could be over bridge rather than round Marfleet House on Burgh Road, Friskney.			The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant has undertaken an iterative site selection process as outlined in Chapter 4: Site Selection.	6.1.4 Site Selection
AC_60	Butterwick		Yes				The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation..	N/A
AC_61	Butterwick	Everything explained very well. Very informative. For the attention of Jenny Freiston Bowls Club for consideration within the Community Fund, thank you.	Yes		Everything		The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant has continued to develop proposals for a community benefit fund to be launched post consent.	N/A
AC_62	Butterwick	Excellent exhibition and very friendly and helpful staff to answer our questions.	Yes		Information boards are very informative and easy to understand. All questions were answered by the staff present.	Nothing - very good.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation..	N/A
AC_63	Butterwick	Good clear exhibition, to the point. I have a concern as my property adjoins and access route. [redacted]			Great explanation and very helpful and friendly	N/A	The Applicant has noted these comments. Throughout the consultation phases the Applicant engaged with the households located	N/A

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	Do you feel planting proposals adequately mitigate the visual impact?	Please share any other feedback about our substation proposals	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
							in the identified consultation zone and provided updated information as the Project was refined and further details available.	
AC_64	Butterwick	Worried about road use by construction vehicles. Noise levels bearing in mind how close it is. Land shake affecting our house and buildings. Length of time it will affect us. Will Cut End Road be closed at any time ? [address removed]	Yes		Excellent welcoming team.	The roadside posters are confusing which is why I attended today.	<p>The Applicant has engaged with all landowners or those whose land may be affected by the Project throughout the consultation, details of this are set out in the Consultation Report.</p> <p>The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p> <p>Considerations around Noise and vibration are set out in Chapter 26 Noise and Vibration. The Applicant has</p>	<p>6.1.27 Traffic and Transport</p> <p>8.15 CTMP</p> <p>8.1 Outline CoCP</p> <p>8.1.1 Outline NVMP</p>

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	Do you feel planting proposals adequately mitigate the visual impact?	Please share any other feedback about our substation proposals	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
							submitted an Outline CoCP including an Outline NVMP which contains protocols and principles to be adhered to during construction to mitigate impacts.	
AC_65	Butterwick						This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
AC_66	Butterwick		Yes		Very helpful talking to people involved		The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on the consultation.	N/A
AC_67	Butterwick	Boston College EMAT Centre - could have better funding. Difficulties finding trained staff. Note to [redacted] - send CLG slide link. DMJ drainage possible contractor for arch trial trenches	Yes				The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant has continued to develop proposals for a community benefit fund to be launched post consent.	N/A
AC_68	Butterwick	Helpful	Yes		Helpful man	Pass!	The Applicant has noted this response.	N/A
AC_69	Butterwick	The traffic in Wrangle is quite bad so this will need to be considered when turning right down Common Road	Yes		Knowledgeable staff who could answer questions and have taken onboard my feedback.		The Applicant has noted this response. The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and	6. .1.27 Traffic and Transport 8.15 CTMP

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	Do you feel planting proposals adequately mitigate the visual impact?	Please share any other feedback about our substation proposals	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
							<p>Transport. Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p>	
AC_70	Butterwick	Well presented	Yes		The very local and accessible open days	<p>My main concern is the traffic along the A52 and back road from Butterwick to Freiston during construction normality. Please not 2 years of construction traffic lights and queues.</p>	<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p>	<p>6. .1.27 Traffic and Transport 8.15 CTMP</p>

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	Do you feel planting proposals adequately mitigate the visual impact?	Please share any other feedback about our substation proposals	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
AC_71	Fosdyke	In favour. All important aspects considered and dealt with.	Yes		Consultation with local people and groups. Considered and mitigated all issues	Nothing	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on consultation	N/A
AC_72	Fosdyke	A timetable of planned works would be appreciated - when, how long for, plus a detailed list of where work is planned, possibly on a week-by-week or month-by-month basis.	Yes	We have a mixed native hedge at the rear of our garden. 10 years since planting (next March). It is in excess of 12 feet high and is cut back by about 5 feet every winter. I would expect the planting to be an effective screen before 15 years (we have hawthorn, field maple, wild privet, wild rose, blackthorn plus several other species)	Well-presented information	More detail would have been appreciated but obviously at this point it is not possible to give more precise information	The Applicant has noted this response The growth rates applied to produce the visualisations are based on conservative values to ensure an achievable outcome is represented..	N/A
AC_73	Fosdyke	We spoke to Andy at Fosdyke. The full route was explained well. Environmental issues had been well-considered. Infrastructure seems to have been explored extremely well	Yes	Fully explained about planting to conceal or benefit the area taken	All points that we raised were fully explained very satisfactory to us.	Not a lot at the moment. Hope you don't have too much trouble when it gets to the decision by government after all your hard work.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on consultation	N/A
AC_74	Fosdyke	We support anything to make the UK carbon neutral. An idea would be whilst the grounds get disrupted, would there be a possibility to incorporate cycle/walkways?	Yes		The graphics are very clear to understand. Well presented.	Supply some drinks	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on consultation	N/A
AC_75	Fosdyke	Very relieved it is not going under the riverbank and is going to the Surfleet Marsh area					The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on consultation	N/A
AC_76	Fosdyke	Very helpful and informative	Yes		Well planned, all questions answered.	Tea and cake	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on consultation	N/A

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	Do you feel planting proposals adequately mitigate the visual impact?	Please share any other feedback about our substation proposals	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
AC_77	Fosdyke	All OK	Yes	No problems	Yes	Nothing - all OK	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on consultation	N/A
AC_78	Fosdyke			I would like a guarantee that the excess traffic will categorically not affect the cottages which are over 400 years old. The irony would be that this environmentally friendly power source would be affecting which is 150 years older than HMS Victory in Plymouth and only 150 younger than the Mary Rose - they spent decades and millions preserving this rotten wood.			<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p> <p>The constraints mapping that was undertaken as part of the siting process for onshore infrastructure included proximity to heritage receptors including Grade II listed houses.</p> <p>Potential impacts are assessed in Chapter 20 Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage.</p>	<p>6. .1.27 Traffic and Transport</p> <p>8.15 CTMP</p> <p>8.09 Onshore OWSI</p> <p>6.1.20 Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage</p>

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	Do you feel planting proposals adequately mitigate the visual impact?	Please share any other feedback about our substation proposals	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
							The Applicant has submitted an Outline WSI (OWSI) as part of the application. Any archaeological investigations will be carried out in accordance with a WSI approved by Lincolnshire County Council (LCC) in consultation with the relevant planning authority and Historic England in accordance with the OWSI.	
AC_79	Fosdyke	Very informative overview given - am very positive about the project as environmentally positive	Yes	Everything has been covered	Consulted, listened		The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on consultation	N/A
AC_80	Fosdyke	Glad you took on board traffic problems on Wash Road and listed buildings with little or no foundations. Also that Wash Road is very narrow and need speed control at 15mph. Wash Road is part of national cycle route No.1.	Partially	Didn't see this today	Listened to our concerns. Good at explaining. Plenty of people to talk to.	Not sure	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on consultation Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid	N/A

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	Do you feel planting proposals adequately mitigate the visual impact?	Please share any other feedback about our substation proposals	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
							traffic being on the road.	
AC_81	Fosdyke	The presentation was good as usual	Partially	Our property will be one of the closest to the proposed substation. We are worried the value will be affected. There is a badger sett close by.			The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on consultation	N/A
AC_82	Fosdyke	Good to see that it has been decided to place the substation by A16 - far better for access and near industrial area, not countryside.	Yes		Great to be informed by post / consultation but too much expense on glossy brochures. Basic info / discussion better.	National Grid representative to discuss what they need to do.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on consultation	N/A
AC_83	Fosdyke	Feel more reassured by the proposal for Marsh Lane junction. However feel that a roundabout might be a better solution. No overtaking will be essential to avoid accidents	Yes	Impressed with planting proposals and starting early in this respect.	Still like more information on proposals for Marsh Lane junction	Feel like listened to more this time.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on consultation Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.	8.15 Outline CTMP
AC_84	Fosdyke	Good presentation of proposal at Surfleet Marsh. Unfortunate no firm information from National Grid for Weston Marsh	Partially				The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on consultation	N/A
AC_85	Fosdyke		Yes				The Applicant has noted this response	N/A

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	Do you feel planting proposals adequately mitigate the visual impact?	Please share any other feedback about our substation proposals	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
							and the feedback on consultation	
AC_86	Fosdyke		Partially				The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on consultation	N/A
AC_87	Fosdyke						This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself. .	N/A
AC_88	Fosdyke		Yes	Concerns about the extra volume of traffic especially as we have a lot of agriculture traffic already. I am also selling my house and the project will affect the sale due to it showing up on the survey	Consultation and keeping local informed.	Traffic surveys along Wash Road.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on consultation Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.	8.15 Outline CTMP
AC_89	Old Leake						This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include	N/A

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	Do you feel planting proposals adequately mitigate the visual impact?	Please share any other feedback about our substation proposals	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
							feedback on the Project itself. ..	
AC_90	Old Leake	Jenny very giving information on the subject					The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on consultation	N/A
AC_91	Old Leake		Yes		Given all the information we asked for and shown the panels and explained well.		The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on consultation	N/A
AC_92	Old Leake						This feedback form only included responses to the multiple choice questions as outlined in the Introduction above and did not include feedback on the Project itself.	N/A
AC_93	Old Leake	All sounds very positive and well thought out. Pleased we have easy access to Autumn Consultation	Yes	Literature informative but quite technical. Was good to speak to company representative to have it explained in layman's terms and have assurances reference tree planting for screening traffic/noise pollution near substation. Feel quite positive now.	Having clear visual presentation but key to the positive feeling we came away with was the ability to ask questions from the well-informed representatives.	At this moment the consultation has exceeded our expectations and we feel well informed.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on consultation	N/A
AC_94	Old Leake	Always impressive to see so much detail and planning. All the people we have spoken to have all been very knowledgeable and have answered all questions	Yes	Can the project make use of local expertise regarding indigenous species, planting density, hedging and long term maintenance? Boston Woods Trust for example?	Kept everyone informed. Listened. Explained. Minimised impact to wildlife etc, where possible.	For us, nothing. Well done.	The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on consultation	N/A

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	Do you feel planting proposals adequately mitigate the visual impact?	Please share any other feedback about our substation proposals	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
AC_95	Old Leake	[redacted]					The Applicant has noted this response. The feedback contained therein is specific and identifying and therefore has been addressed privately between the individual and the Applicant.	N/A
AC_96	Old Leake			Please let me know why I have received letter ref: [ref removed]. Have been told it looks like a third party interest but would like details			The Applicant has noted this response.	N/A
AC_97	Old Leake	After the Viking Link, we are not strangers to cabling over the countryside. This proposal is closer to home but it seems likely that long term benefits will outweigh any short term inconveniences if any become apparent.	Yes	Don't have any particular thoughts on this. Substations are generally inert structures and can easily be hidden behind appropriate landscaping and planting.	Talking	Figures for overall cost-benefit. Examples of Community Fund uses. Examples and photos of landscape mitigation including info on increase in treescape in this flat land.	The Applicant has noted this response. The Applicant has continued to develop proposals for a community benefit fund to be launched post consent.	N/A
AC_98	Old Leake	Very informative and the member of the team we spoke to was very helpful in explaining everything.	Yes		Kept us informed of your proposals and updated info when appropriate.		The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on consultation	N/A
AC_99	Old Leake	The work will not impact where I live. It is great to see the maps and know exactly where the work will be.	Yes		Great to see so many people to talk to about this project.		The Applicant has noted this response and the feedback on consultation	N/A
AC_100	Virtual	I would like to make the following comments regarding [redacted] Both these roads are single lane with no passing places. They will need considerable widening and strengthening and tight bends rerouted plus the addition of passing places or traffic management i.e. traffic lights. There is a row of listed 17th century Almshouses with shallow rubble foundations that will be at risk from vehicle vibrations and soil movements if ground conditions change due to drainage etc.					The Applicant has noted this response. The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. Local	6. .1.27 Traffic and Transport 8.15 CTMP 8.09 Onshore OWSI 6.1.20 Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	Do you feel planting proposals adequately mitigate the visual impact?	Please share any other feedback about our substation proposals	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
							<p>concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p> <p>The constraints mapping that was undertaken as part of the siting process for onshore infrastructure included proximity to heritage receptors including Grade II listed houses.</p> <p>Potential impacts are assessed in Chapter 20 Onshore Archaeology and Cultural Heritage. The Applicant has submitted an Outline WSI (OWSI) as part of the application. Any archaeological investigations will be carried out in accordance with a WSI approved by Lincolnshire County Council (LCC) in consultation with</p>	

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	Do you feel planting proposals adequately mitigate the visual impact?	Please share any other feedback about our substation proposals	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
							the relevant planning authority and Historic England in accordance with the OWSI.	
AC_101	Virtual	I appreciate the possible necessity currently for renewable energy; however, I am saddened by the prospect of having a massive substation built in the local area to accommodate this. It will be an unsightly blot on the landscape. I am also concerned about the electromagnetic radiation emitting from this building and all underground cables. From a health perspective, are our bodies able to withstand any more energy waves constantly passing through us?					<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The Project is not anticipated to have any impacts in terms of EMF emissions and this has been scoped out of the assessment in agreement with the Planning Inspectorate.</p>	5.1.2 Scoping Opinion
AC_102	Virtual	I don't understand why you decided to route the cable through a bunch of roads and villages instead of opting for the route through the farmland nearer the sea					<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The Applicant has undertaken an iterative site selection process as outlined in Chapter 4: Site Selection. The constraints mapping that included proximity to residential receptors was undertaken when identifying both route options; while there are more residential receptors near to the alternative route option, it was concluded that the engineering challenges and</p>	Site Selection (6.1.4)

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	Do you feel planting proposals adequately mitigate the visual impact?	Please share any other feedback about our substation proposals	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)
							subsequent environmental considerations (including impacts on the local communities and environment) would be significantly reduced by adopting the alternative route option	
AC_103	Virtual	<p>I wonder about the siting of the substation - so close to a major route and .coming off the Sutterton roundabout a real accident area. I expect you will sort out the traffic routes with the Traffic Management Plan, for lorries, equipment and aggregate that will be needed for the Haul and Track roads now you have the site confirmed from NG. Your local groups will be able to help here. One question -- where will you source the aggregate - fairly locally?? Triton Knoll also used a major road A17 and they needed traffic light controls and had a wide "bell mouth" entrance and exit. Their major and large equipment were escorted and were mainly taken there on a Sunday. I presume that security of the site will be taken into account? Will there be a wheel cleaning area set up to stop the mud going on the roads as traffic moves on and off the site? The contractors should make clear to their drivers what the speed restrictions are in the area. Speeding lorries and vans etc, are not acceptable for the locals. Not knowing the area for the substation very well I presume more tests will be carried out for soil strength etc, As the site is on the River Welland flood plain perhaps the local group and Welland and Deeping IDB will be able to assist? I would hope that NG will share any important information with you.</p>					<p>The Applicant has noted this response.</p> <p>The potential impacts on traffic and transport are assessed in Chapter 27 Traffic and Transport. Local concerns are noted in respect of traffic and travel impacts and the Applicant has proposed measures to minimise disruption as much as possible including the implementation of a construction traffic management plan (CTMP), travel plan and the use of a haul road to avoid traffic being on the road.</p> <p>The Applicant has engaged with the relevant IDBs throughout the consultation phases, details of which are set out in the Consultation Report.</p>	<p>6.1.27 Traffic and Transport 8.15 CTMP 5.1 Consultation Report</p>

Comment ID	Venue	Comments	Do you feel planting proposals adequately mitigate the visual impact?	Please share any other feedback about our substation proposals	What could we do better?	What have we done well?	Project Regard	Relevant application documentation (if applicable)